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Introduction

Functional or nonobstructive gastrointestinal (GI) dysmotility associated with milk intolerance is a common condition in preterm, very low birth weight (VLBW) infants [1, 2]. It is characterized by protracted feeding intolerance with increased gastric residuals, intermittent regurgitation or vomiting and in severe cases gross abdominal distension. In contrast to necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and isolated GI perforation secondary to postnatal corticosteroids and prostaglandin inhibitors [3–5], bloody stool, abdominal erythema and signs and symptoms suggestive of peritonitis are typically absent. Abdominal radiograph shows generalized bowel distension but with no pneumatosis intestinalis or free gas in the abdominal cavity (fig. 1). Biomarkers of infection, including serial serum C-reactive protein and neutrophil CD64 levels are not raised [6]. The abdomen decompresses readily 24–48 h after stopping milk feeds but the situation often recurs during reintroduction of enteral feeding. This clinical entity is believed to be the consequence of infrequent, ineffective and uncoordinated bowel activity secondary to immaturity of the GI tract [1, 2]. Although GI dysmotility of prematurity per se is a relatively benign condition, the fear of NEC and other sinister intra-abdominal patholo-
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Abstract

Milk intolerance due to functional gastrointestinal (GI) dysmotility is a common problem in preterm infants. In the past decade, erythromycin has been used for its motilinomimetic effect to facilitate enteral feeding in preterm infants. Although earlier studies suggested that erythromycin is an effective prokinetic agent, recent randomized control trials (RCTs) reveal conflicting findings. This review assesses the evidence from all RCTs performed to date on erythromycin for preterm infants. The results suggest that oral erythromycin administered in intermediate or high doses as a rescue treatment is associated with a shorter time to attain full enteral feeding and decrease in the duration of requirement for parenteral nutrition. More importantly, the outcome study further indicates that oral erythromycin can reduce the incidence of parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis by almost 50% and decreases the incidence of recurrent sepsis. None of the RCTs reported any sinister adverse effects, in particular, hypertrophic infantile pyloric stenosis or fatal cardiac arrhythmia. Nonetheless, as long-term outcomes have not been fully evaluated, neonatologists should use this treatment cautiously and selectively in preterm infants with moderately severe GI dysmotility.

Erythromycin, a commonly used macrolide and antibiotic, has been found to possess potent prokinetic properties and has been used in older children and adults for treatment of gastroparesis due to diabetes mellitus, scleroderma or following esophagectomy and vagotomy [9]. Its motilin agonistic action has also been clinically exploited for the management of a variety of GI dysmotility disorders, including: gastro-esophageal reflux, chronic functional pseudo-obstruction and postoperative intestinal ileus [9]. Further, erythromycin has been used successfully in facilitating transpyloric passage of endoscopes, Watson’s capsules and nasoenteric feeding tubes into the proximal small bowel [9]. Although the role of erythromycin as a prokinetic agent in preterm, VLBW infants has been recently investigated in a number of randomized control trials (RCTs) [10–19], much controversy concerning its efficacy in promoting enteral feeding and adverse effects remain. This review summarizes the results of recent RCTs in order to explore whether collectively, a common message may emerge so that indications for erythromycin usage and practical guidelines for this medication may be established and gauged according to the prevailing evidence.

**Review of RCTs**

Systematic literature search for RCTs concerning erythromycin use, at any dose, intravenous or oral, in preterm infants to facilitate GI motility were identified by searching through MEDLINE, EMBASE and abstracts published in Pediatric Research. To date, 10 RCTs have reported effects of erythromycin on preterm newborns [10–19]. Seven RCTs used erythromycin as a rescue treatment [13–19] and 3 studies used the medication as a prophylactic prokinetic agent [10–12]. The characteristics of the study populations and findings of these RCTs are summarized in tables 1 and 2. The 2 RCTs reported by The Cochrane Database of Systemic Review [20] are included in the current analysis.

Of the 3 prophylactic RCTs (table 1) [10–12], 2 studies did not demonstrate any benefit of erythromycin as an effective prokinetic agent [10, 11]. In addition, the objective of the RCT by Stenson et al. [10] primarily concerned the use of intravenous erythromycin for eradication of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* and prevention of chronic lung disease. Their investigation on the effect of erythromycin in enteral feeding was a secondary data analysis. Thus, the study was not originally designed nor intended to answer the question on feeding intolerance. In this category of RCTs, only the study by Oei and Lui [12] suggested that the use of oral erythromycin was associated with significantly fewer episodes of large residual gastric aspirates and treated infants were able to attain full enteral feeding more rapidly. On the whole, prophylactic studies [10–12]
suffer the prejudice of indiscriminately treating all infants and do not specifically target those who are most in need of prokinetic treatment. Thus, prophylactic RCTs may not necessarily reveal the full potential of erythromycin in facilitating enteral feeding. Further, as this agent has bacteriostatic properties, neonatologists would be most reluctant to prescribe the medication routinely due to worries of promoting emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms and alteration of microbiologic flora in the GI tract at such an early stage in life.

Seven RCTs used erythromycin as a rescue treatment for preterm infants with feeding intolerance (table 2) [13–19]. Four clinical trials used low doses (3–15 mg/kg/day administered either orally or intravenously) [13–16], whereas the other 3 trials used either an intermediate/high dose tapering regimen (40 mg/kg for 2 days followed by 16 mg/kg for 5 days) [17] or high doses (50 mg/day) [18, 19]. It is worth noting that all patients in the ‘pilot’ study by Ng et al. [18] were included in the final analysis of their ‘outcome’ study [19]. Three of the 4 RCTs using a low-dose regimen did not show any beneficial effect of erythromycin as a prokinetic agent [13–15]. Only one recent study demonstrated that low-dose oral erythromycin was effective in facilitating enteral feeding, decreasing the number of episodes of large gastric residuals and shortening the duration of parenteral nutrition, in preterm infants >32 weeks’ gestation [16]. Nonetheless, no improvement was observed in infants with lower gestational ages. In contrast, all studies utilizing an intermediate or a high dose of oral erythromycin showed beneficial effects in promoting milk feedings and shortening the duration of parenteral nutrition requirements [17–19]. Additionally, the clinical outcome study has sufficient statistical power to demonstrate that use of erythromycin could reduce the incidence of PNAC by almost half (49%) and with significantly fewer treated patients having abnormally raised serum alanine aminotransferase concentration [19]. The latter study also revealed a significant reduction in recur-

---

**Table 1. RCTs of erythromycin as a prophylactic prokinetic agent in preterm infants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>ventilated infants &lt;31 weeks' gestation</td>
<td>&lt;32 weeks' gestation</td>
<td>≤32 weeks' gestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>major congenital abnormalities</td>
<td>major congenital anomalies and chromosomal aberrations</td>
<td>major congenital malformation; perinatal hypoxia (Apgar score &lt;5 at 5 min); other conditions: intraventricular hemorrhage (&gt;grade 1), sepsis, NEC, organic abdominal pathologies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Erythromycin usage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Duration, days</th>
<th>Route of administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>high dose (15 mg/kg every 8 h)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high dose (12 mg/kg every 6 h)</td>
<td>until full enteral feeding or 14 days</td>
<td>oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low dose (2.5 mg/kg every 6 h)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>oral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

| Age achieved full enteral feeding after treatment: erythromycin vs. placebo, days | 8 (5–12) vs. 9 (6–14)* | 3.9 (3.4–5.9) vs. 4.3 (3.4–6.8)** | 6.0 ± 2.3 vs. 7.9 ± 3.5 |
| Findings                  | no benefit        | no benefit               | facilitated enteral feeding       |
| Adverse effects           | nil               | insignificant elevation of theophylline level | nil |
| Comments                  | primary objective of this study was the prevention of chronic lung disease by eradication of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* | sample size inadequate to detect <30% improvement in outcome | nil |
|                          | time to establish full enteral feeding in all enrolled infants was reduced by >50% | |

Results are * median (range), ** median (interquartile range) or mean (± SD).

None of the studies reported an increase in incidence of adverse effects associated with the use of erythromycin, including infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, cardiac dysrhythmia, sepsis and NEC.
rent septicemia, and that the use of the antimicrobial (high) dose of oral erythromycin did not promote the emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms during the prolonged investigation period (69 months) [19]. Interestingly, none of the studies reported a mean/median duration for achieving full enteral feeding that was longer in the erythromycin group than in the placebo group [10–19]. The overall pattern suggested that the discrepancy of results between studies could have been related to the small sample size in some clinical trials [13, 14], as well as the different dosages used. It appears that in rescue therapy, the intermediate and high doses are probably more effective in stimulating the human GI tract and promoting milk feeding in preterm infants [17–19].

### Table 2. RCTs of erythromycin as a rescue prokinetic agent in preterm infants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>ElHennawy et al. [13]</th>
<th>Ng et al. [14]</th>
<th>Cairns et al. [15]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>29–36 weeks’ gestation; birth weight 900–2,000 g; failure to attain full enteral feeding within 8 days of starting milk feeds; presence of an intravenous line</td>
<td>birth weight ≤1,500 g; feed intolerance with failure to start non-nutritive enteral feeding by 1 week or failure to attain 20 ml/kg/day of milk feeds 1 week after commencement</td>
<td>&lt;37 weeks’ post-conceptional age; failed within 3 or more days to initiate or advance feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>sepsis within 48 h of study entry; use of vasopressors or opioids; continuous infusion feedings; other conditions, including: NEC, cardiac arrhythmia, intestinal myopathy, hepatitis or liver failure, and congenital or chromosomal abnormalities</td>
<td>septicemia; major congenital malformations; antenatal Doppler documenting absent or reversed end-diastolic flow</td>
<td>NEC, ileus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erythromycin usage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosage</strong></td>
<td>low dose (1.5 mg/kg every 6 h)</td>
<td>low dose (5 mg/kg every 8 h)</td>
<td>low dose (3 mg/kg every 6 h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>1 week after full enteral feeding</td>
<td>until full enteral feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Route of administration</strong></td>
<td>oral</td>
<td>oral</td>
<td>intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age achieved full enteral feeding after treatment: erythromycin vs. placebo, days</strong></td>
<td>31 ± 15 vs. 36 ± 16*</td>
<td>24.9 ± 2.9 vs. 30.8 ± 4.1***</td>
<td>13.0 ± 14.1 vs. 26.5 ± 20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Findings</strong></td>
<td>no benefit</td>
<td>no benefit</td>
<td>no benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverse effects</strong></td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments</strong></td>
<td>– infants received erythromycin emptied 47 ± 23% of their feeding in 20 min, whereas infants received placebo emptied 32 ± 20%; 70 ± 20% vs. 64 ± 26% within one hour, respectively – antrroduodenal motor contractions were similar in both groups</td>
<td>– a higher proportion of infants in the placebo group (7/11, 64%) had PNAC than those in the erythromycin group (4/13, 31%; p = 0.11)</td>
<td>– post-hoc analysis suggested 404 infants would have been needed to detect a mean difference of 3 days; thus, the benefits of low-dose erythromycin are likely to be modest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Postnatal age achieved full enteral feeding (days).

Results are ** median (interquartile range), mean (SD) or *** mean (SEM). None of the studies reported an increase in incidence of adverse effects associated with the use of erythromycin, e.g. infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, cardiac dysrhythmia, sepsis and NEC.
Adverse Effects of Erythromycin in Preterm Infants

None of the RCTs reported any major adverse effects associated with use of erythromycin [10–19]. In particular, infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis was not reported in any of the clinical trials [10–19]. The incidence of this complication is estimated to be 1–3 cases per 1,000 live births in the Western population and administration of erythromycin will substantially increase the risk by 8-fold to 1 case per 41–125 treated infants [21]. With this prevalence, definitive cases should have been identified by the outcome study [19] or the combination of RCTs [10–19]. The fact that no cases have been identified suggests that the relationship between erythromycin and de-
development of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is likely to be a weak association and the benefits of erythromycin probably outweigh any risk. Other sinister side effects of erythromycin, including: fatal cardiac arrhythmia, prolonged QTc intervals [18, 19], emergence of multidrug-resistant organisms [18, 19], outbreak of NEC and significant alteration of the pattern of stool microflora [19], have not been reported in these studies. In addition, the systematic review from The Cochrane Database on the use of erythromycin for prevention of chronic lung disease in intubated preterm infants at risk of Ureaplasma urealyticum infection did not indicate an increase in recognized adverse effects related to erythromycin [22].

Mechanisms of Action

The mechanism by which erythromycin enhances enteral feeding in preterm infants is not fully known. Current evidence suggests that its prokinetic action is mediated via the motilin pathway [23–25] and results in increasing proximal gastric tone [26], enhancing the strength and frequency of antral and proximal small bowel contractions [27–31] and reducing pyloric outlet resistance [24]. More importantly, recent studies have discovered 2 main types of motilin receptors [32]. The neural motilin receptor on cholinergic neurons is principally stimulated by low dose (1–3 mg/kg) erythromycin and can augment phase III migrating motor complexes, whilst the smooth muscle motilin receptor responds to higher doses and produces sustained antral contractions and promotes antroduodenal coordination [25, 30, 33, 34]. Although the lack of response to erythromycin in a proportion of preterm infants <32 weeks’ gestation has been suggested to be associated with immaturity of the GI tract, results of recent RCTs suggest otherwise [17–19]. In fact, the development of the GI neuroendocrine network is almost complete by 25 weeks’ gestation and it has been demonstrated that the distribution of motilin in the gut at 20 weeks’ gestation closely resembles the pattern in adults [35, 36]. Therefore, it appears that infants at very early gestations are already equipped with the essential physiologic and anatomic machinery necessary for normal functioning of the bowel.

Various human studies have provided important information about erythromycin as a potent prokinetic agent in preterm infants. Tomomasa et al. [37] used intravenous erythromycin (0.75 mg/kg) in preterm infants at 23–30 weeks’ gestation and found a significant increase in antral cluster of contractions and 4-fold improvement in the antral motility index, signifying the presence of functional motilin receptors in the GI tract at very early gestations. In a closely related study, these investigators demonstrated that 10 mg of oral erythromycin, but not a smaller dose (3 mg), significantly increased the speed of gastric emptying [38]. Costalos et al. [34], in a crossover study, further suggested that oral erythromycin (10 mg/kg every 8 h) enhanced antral contractility and increased the whole gut transit time measured by ultrasonography and the carmine red dye method. In contrast, Jadcherla and Berseth [39] using low-dose oral erythromycin (0.75–3 mg/kg) failed to induce the phase III motor migrating complexes in infants <31 weeks’ gestation but could successfully initiate stimulation of the bowel in a dose-dependent fashion in infants ≥32 weeks’ gestation. El-Hennawy et al. [13] failed to demonstrate that low-dose erythromycin (1.5 mg/kg) enhanced antroduodenal contractions or increase the GI transit time. Collectively, these data suggest that the action of erythromycin is probably dose and gestation dependent. As recent evidence indicates that the intermediate and high-dose erythromycin regimens are more effective than the low-dose regimen especially in clinical studies of rescue nature, we speculate that lower doses of erythromycin are probably insufficient to provide an adequate drug concentration necessary for exerting its desired prokinetic effects.

Conclusions

In the past decade, 10 RCTs have reported the effectiveness and adverse effects of erythromycin as a prokinetic agent in preterm infants [10–19]. The use of oral erythromycin is associated with a shorter time to attain full enteral feeding and may also decrease the duration of parenteral nutrition requirement [12, 17–19]. More importantly, the outcome study further indicates that the use of high dose oral erythromycin can reduce the incidence of PNAC by almost 50% and concurrently decreases the incidence of recurrent catheter-related septicemic episodes [19]. It is reassuring that none of the studies reported any major side effects, in particular, hypertrophic infantile pyloric stenosis and life-threatening cardiac dysrhythmia [10–19]. The current information probably favors the use of rescue rather than prophylactic treatment, intermediate to high doses rather than low-dose erythromycin and administering the medication intra-gastrically rather than intravenously, for the management of moderately severe functional GI dysmotility in...
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