

Subject Index

- A-Cute Tox project 133
- Acute toxicity, animal testing alternatives 131–134
- Aerosol dispenser's label directive, relevance for cosmetics 25
- AGRICOLA, databases 105
- Animal testing
 - alternative analysis in SCCP dossiers
 - acute toxicity
 - background 131–133
 - oral toxicity 133, 134
 - eye irritation
 - background 136, 137
 - data in opinions 137, 138
 - mutagenicity/genotoxicity
 - background 146
 - data in opinions 146, 147
 - photo-induced toxicity
 - background 147–149
 - data in opinions 149
 - repeated dose toxicity testing
 - background 142, 143
 - data in opinions 143
 - reproductive toxicity
 - background 144, 145
 - data in opinions 145, 146
 - skin irritation
 - background 134, 135
 - data in opinions 135, 136
 - skin sensitization
 - background 138–140
 - data in opinions 140–142
 - study design 131
 - summary 173–177
 - toxicokinetics 144
 - ban
 - challenges 44, 45
 - Cosmetic Products Directive 6, 7
 - numbers and time frame
 - historical perspective 149–153
 - projection under REACH 153, 154
 - prospects 154–157
 - technical information file 123
 - Beilstein, chemical data and software 103
 - Benchmark dose (BMD), hazard identification 35
 - Biocidal Products Directive
 - general provisions 17
 - relevance for cosmetics 17
 - Biosis, databases 105
 - Bovine corneal opacity and permeability test (BCOP) 137, 138
 - Buehler test 139
 - ChEMBL, databases 105
 - Chemical Abstracts, databases 104
 - Chemical Safety NewsBase (CSNB), databases 105
 - CMR substances, provisions 10
 - Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR)
 - commercial data 102
 - Internet resources 99
 - Cosmetic Products Directive
 - animal testing ban 6, 7
 - Annexes 5
 - cosmetic product definition 1
 - milestones 1, 2
 - public information prerequisite 4
 - recasting proposals 9–11
 - safety assessment pathways 7–9
 - safety prerequisite and responsibilities 3, 4
 - summary 167
 - technical information file prerequisite 5

- transposition into Belgian law 183–187
- Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association (CTFA), commercial data 102, 103
- Dangerous Preparations Directive
 - general provisions 15
 - relevance for cosmetics 15–17
- Dangerous Substances Directive
 - general provisions 11–13
 - relevance for cosmetics 13–15
- Data availability, combined impact of vertical legislations 19–21
- Database search, safety information on
 - cosmetic ingredients
 - data quality evaluation 109, 110
 - data sources
 - bibliographical databases 103–105
 - CD-ROMs 105
 - commercial data 102, 103
 - Internet resources 98–102
 - manufacturer data 105, 106
 - types 97
 - general considerations 96, 97
 - risk assessment of cosmetic ingredients in finished products 110–112
 - steps
 - commercial database search 109
 - free Internet search 107
 - identification 107
 - legal restriction check 108
 - toxicological data search 108
 - summary 171, 172
- Dermal absorption studies
 - alternatives 81, 82
 - controversies 79–81
 - margin of safety calculations 78, 79
 - principles 74–76
 - Scientific Committee on Consumer Products
 - requirements 74
 - shortcomings in reports 76, 77
- Detergents, legislation 18, 19
- Directorate General Enterprise, Internet resources 98
- Dose-response, assessment 35, 36
- Draize test
 - eye irritation 136
 - skin irritation 134
- EMBASE, databases 104
- Embryotoxic stem cell test 145
- Episkin test 134, 135
- EUR-Lex, Internet resources 98
- European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals (ECETOC), Internet resources 101
- European Chemicals Bureau (ECB), Internet resources 98, 99
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)
 - general provisions 16
 - relevance for cosmetics 16, 17
- European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances (EINCS), components 13, 14
- European List of Notified Chemical Substances (ELINCS), components 13, 14
- Exposure assessment
 - data needs 45
 - exposure levels per cosmetic product type 36–38
 - principles 36
 - systemic dose calculation 38, 39
- Eye irritation, animal testing alternatives 136–138
- Food additives, legislation 16, 17
- Fragrances, risk assessment challenges 46
- General Product Safety Directive (GPSD), relevance for cosmetics 23, 24
- Gmelin, commercial data 103
- Hair dye
 - industry-Scientific Committee on Consumer Products interactions 70, 71
 - risk assessment challenges 46
- Hazard identification
 - benchmark dose 35
 - no adverse effects are observed 31, 34, 35
 - physiochemical and toxicological property determination 31–33
 - protocols 31–34
- HEALTHSAFE, databases 104
- Hen's egg test-chorio allantoic membrane assay (HET-CAM) 137, 138
- Human and Environmental Risk Assessment (HERA), Internet resources 101
- Human testing, ethical constraints 48
- Industry, Scientific Committee on Consumer Products interaction analysis 69–71

- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Internet resources 101
- International Fragrance Association (IFRA), Internet resources 101
- International Nomenclature for Cosmetic Ingredients (INCI)
recasting 11
technical information file 118
- International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (IPA), databases 104
- International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS), Internet resources 100, 101
- Isolated chicken eye assay (ICE) 137
- Isolated rabbit eye assay (IRE) 137
- IUCLID, databases 105
- Kosmet, database 103, 104
- LED₁₀, dose descriptor 35
- Lethal dosage LD₅₀ tests 131, 132
- LIFESCI, databases 105
- LINTOP project 144
- Local lymph node assay (LLNA) 139–142, 148
- Low volume eye test (LVET) 136
- Magnusson-Kligman test 138, 139
- Margin of safety (MoS)
calculation 39, 40
dermal absorption studies 78, 79
- Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), commercial data 103, 105, 106
- Medical devices
general provisions 19
relevance for cosmetics 19
- Medicinal Products Directive
general provisions 17, 18
relevance for cosmetics 18
- Medline, databases 104
- MicroMass test 145
- Mutagenicity/genotoxicity studies
animal testing alternatives 146, 147
Scientific Committee on Consumer Products
reports
presence of studies 84, 85
shortcomings 85
requirements 82, 83
- Nanoparticles, risk assessment challenges 47
- National Library of Medicine (NLM), Internet resources 99, 100
- National Toxicology Program (NTP), Internet resources 100
- No adverse effects are observed (NOAEL)
hazard identification 31, 34, 35
repeated dose toxicity testing 142, 143
- Nominal Capacities Directive, relevance for cosmetics 24, 25
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Internet resources 102
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), risk assessment protocols 31–34
- Perfumes, *see* Fragrances
- Photo-induced toxicity, animal testing alternatives 147–149
- Plant Protection Products Directive
general provisions 19
relevance for cosmetics 19
- Prepackaged products, legislation 24
- Protection of Experimental Animals Directive, relevance for cosmetics 22, 23
- Public information, Cosmetic Products Directive prerequisite 4
- Raw material suppliers, responsibilities and challenges 46, 47
- Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)
animal testing numbers and time frame 153, 154
general provisions 11–13
relevance for cosmetics 13–15, 112
- Regulation, versus directive 9, 10
- Repeated dose toxicity, animal testing alternatives 142, 143
- Reproductive toxicity, animal testing alternatives 144–146
- ReProTect project 145
- Risk assessment
challenges 44–49
cosmetic ingredients in finished products 110–112
dose-response assessment 35, 36
exposure assessment
exposure levels per cosmetic product type 36–38
principles 36
systemic dose calculation 38, 39

- hazard identification
 - benchmark dose 35
 - no adverse effects are observed 31, 34, 35
 - physiochemical and toxicological property determination 31–33
 - protocols 31–34
- overview 29, 30
- risk characterization
 - lifetime cancer risk 40
 - margin of safety calculation 39, 40
 - threshold of toxicological concern 40, 41
- risk communication 42, 43
- risk management 41, 42
- Scientific Committee on Consumer Products (SCCP)
 - animal testing alternatives, *see* Animal testing
 - data requirements 94
 - dermal absorption study
 - alternatives 81, 82
 - controversies 79–81
 - margin of safety calculations 78, 79
 - principles 74–76
 - requirements 74
 - shortcomings in reports 76, 77
 - functions 5–7, 9, 29
 - identity and physiochemistry
 - requirements 72, 73
 - shortcomings in reports 73, 74
 - mutagenicity/genotoxicity studies
 - reports
 - presence of studies 84, 85
 - shortcomings 85
 - requirements 82, 83
 - opinions
 - classification 58, 59
 - critical analysis
 - content comparison of submissions
 - discussed in 2003 and 2006 64–69
 - industry interplay analysis 69–71
 - ingredient types 62, 63
 - methodology 60, 61
 - number of opinions in new millennium 61, 62
 - success rate 63, 64
 - summary 167, 168
 - tables 188–190
 - SciSearch, databases 104
 - Skin irritation, animal testing alternatives 134–136
 - Skin sensitization, animal testing alternatives 138–142
 - Small businesses, risk assessment challenges 48, 49
 - Standards, harmonization 10
 - Systemic exposure dosage (SED), calculation 38, 39
 - T₂₅, dose descriptor 35
 - TD₅₀, dose descriptor 35
 - Technical information file (TIF)
 - components 115, 116
 - Cosmetic Products Directive prerequisite 5
 - database search, *see* Database search, safety information on cosmetic ingredients
 - practical aspects 123–125
 - proposal for legal requirements
 - administration part 125, 193, 194
 - animal testing data 123
 - existing data of undesirable effects 121, 122
 - finished product part 125, 126, 201–209
 - ingredients part 125, 195–200
 - manufacturing method 120
 - microbiological checks 119
 - physiochemical data 118, 119
 - proof of effects claimed 122
 - prospects for change 126, 127
 - purity 119, 120
 - qualitative and quantitative composition 117, 118
 - safety assessment of finished product 120, 121
 - safety assessor name and address 121
 - stability 119
 - summary 172, 173
 - 3T3 Neutral Red Uptake Phototoxicity Test 148, 149
 - Threshold of toxicological concern (TTC), risk characterization 40, 41
 - TOXCENTER, databases 104
 - Toxicokinetics, animal testing alternatives 144
 - Training, risk assessment challenges 47, 48
 - Whole embryo culture test 145

