Introduction

Circular stapling anastomosis of the rectum is a safe and quick technique which contributes nowadays to preservation of the sphincter in most rectal cancer operations. However, with the increased application of this technique, colorectal strictures have become a significant problem with the highest reported incidence up to 67% at the lower rectum [1]. Typically some time after surgery, patients complain of frequent defecation, feeling of residual stool and lower abdominal fullness. Proposed risk factors that may contribute to the development of strictures are ischemia of the anastomosis, incomplete leakage and infection [2, 3]. Although controversial, many reports have found no difference between hand-sewn and mechanical anastomosis [4]. An ongoing debate exists about the treatment modality of choice for colorectal strictures. Among the different minimally invasive therapeutic treatment options such as transanal dilatation (manual or by bougie), microwave coagulation therapy, transanal incision and argon plasma coagulation, transanal balloon dilatation has been recommended as the preferred treatment option [5]. However, transanal balloon dilatation has only a reported success rate of about 60% in patients having undergone cancer surgery with an overall complication rate of about 17% [5]. Among very few case reports about new innovative treatment modal-
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ities with circular staplers for severe colorectal strictures, transanal reanastomosis by means of a circular stapler is reported to be easy and effective [6, 7]. We report our experience with a slightly modified transanal circular stapling technique and describe and illustrate this simple and safe method.

Patients and Method

Two, 3 and 6 months after the CEEA stapler anastomosis, the 3 patients who had undergone low anterior rectosigmoidal resection for rectal cancer (2 patients) and endometriosis (1 patient) developed severe symptoms of anastomotic stricture that were proven by endoscopy and radiological contrast examinations. Several attempts of endoscopical dilatation followed by restriction were unsuccessful so that it was decided to use a transanal stapler resection of the anastomosis.

Methods

No colonic preparation was performed prior to surgery. All three interventions were undertaken under general anesthesia with the patients placed in the lithotomy position. Cefoxitin 2 g intravenously was given as a single-shot prophylaxis. After introduction of the anal retractor, the anastomosis was inspected and the stricture quantified. Using a water-soluble contrast medium, before and after surgery, the anastomosis was checked (fig. 1). The CEEA stapler head (29-mm (twice) and 31-mm (once) calibre; Tyco Co., USA) was then introduced. To pass even a severely stricture anastomosis and to reduce the risk of injuring the intestinal wall, the anvil of the stapler device was tilted prior to insertion and then pushed proximal to the stricture with a long clamp whereas the knife- and staple-bearing part being distal to it was introduced in a second step (fig. 2). The anvil was then brought in a vertical position. Introduction, placement and tightening of the stapler were performed under fluoroscopic control (fig. 3). The final result was again controlled by on-table imaging with a water-soluble contrast medium by fluoroscopy (fig. 4). The excised anastomotic stricture was then sent for histological evaluation to exclude a cancerous lesion.

Results

No complications occurred intra- or postoperatively. All 3 patients were discharged from the hospital on the first postoperative day. Eight, 12 and 14 months after transanal reanastomosis, the patients’ stool habits had practically normalized with 1–3 formed, asymptomatic fecal passages per day. During clinical examinations, a soft and homogeneous anastomosis, which could be
passed with two fingers, was palpated in all 3 cases. Only slight inflammatory changes of the mucosa in the anastomotic region were revealed by colonoscopy.

Discussion

Abdominal reanastomosis in the lower pelvis is technically challenging with the hazardous possibility of a permanent colostomy. For this reason, transanal minimally invasive procedures are the treatment modalities of choice. Transanal stapler reanastomosis is another simple and safe alternative treatment option. Our slightly modified technique by using an anvil which can be tilted offers the advantage that during stapler insertion, even in severe stenosis, no forced mechanical dilatation is needed. Furthermore, the risk for intestinal injuries during stapler introduction with the risk of perforation is reduced.

This technique is simple and safe. With increasing experience, this treatment modality might be performed as an outpatient procedure. However, more patients need to be treated with these relatively new techniques before final conclusions about safety and long-term follow-up results can be drawn.
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