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Abstract
The host innate immune response, including the production of type-I IFN, represents the primary line of defense against invading viral pathogens. Of the hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) discovered to date, ISG15 was one of the first identified and shown to encode a ubiquitin-like protein that functions, in part, as a modifier of protein function. Evidence implicating ISG15 as an innate immune protein with broad-spectrum antiviral activity continues to accumulate rapidly. This review will summarize recent findings on the innate antiviral activity of ISG15, with a focus on the interplay between ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways resulting in modulation of RNA virus assembly/budding. Indeed, ubiquitination is known to be proviral for some RNA viruses, whereas the parallel ISGylation pathway is known to be antiviral. A better understanding of the antiviral activities of ISG15 will enhance our fundamental knowledge of host innate responses to viral pathogens and may provide insight useful for the development of novel therapeutic approaches designed to enhance the immune response against such pathogens.
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Introduction
The host innate immune response represents a critical, initial line of defense against invading pathogens, and the magnitude of this response can influence disease progression. The IFN system is a key component and complex regulator of innate immunity. Type I IFNs function primarily to promote an antiviral state in an infected host by inducing signaling through the IFN receptor to stimulate expression of a plethora of gene products that function in host defense. One of the early induced IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), first identified more than 20 years ago, is a small ubiquitin-like protein termed ISG15 [1–3]. This review will summarize several recent reports that have contributed to our fundamental understanding of ISG15 antiviral activity, and which have provided novel insights into the mechanism(s) of the antiviral action exhibited by this broad-spectrum, IFN-induced, innate immune protein.

Ubiquitination versus ISGylation
Ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins (e.g. ISG15 and SUMO) are small molecules expressed in eukaryotes that are conjugated to target proteins, often resulting in modulation of the target protein’s stability/function/localization [4]. The mechanisms by which proteins are ubiquitinated and ISGylated have many common features, and these
protein modifiers regulate a diverse array of biological processes. These protein modification pathways have been reviewed in detail elsewhere [5, 6] (fig. 1), and thus will only be summarized here. Both ubiquitination and ISGylation result in covalent modification of target proteins following a series of enzymatic reactions that occur in a stepwise fashion (fig. 1). The process of ubiquitination begins with protease cleavage of precursor ubiquitin molecules at their C-termini to reveal the di-glycine motif. In the presence of ATP, the Gly-Gly motif is then adenylated by the ubiquitin activating enzyme (E1). The ubiquitin moiety is then transferred to a cysteine residue on a ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (E2). Finally, the E2 enzyme in conjunction with a ubiquitin ligase (E3), which recognizes the specific target protein, transfers the ubiquitin moiety typically onto the ε-NH$_2$ group of a lysine residue of the substrate. In addition to lysine residues, other amino acids (e.g. serine/threonine) and the extreme N-termini of target proteins can potentially serve as target sites for ubiquitination and/or influence the ubiquitination process [7–9]. Proteins that are modified by poly-ubiquitination are often destined for degradation by the 26S proteasome. For example, this process is crucial in immune cells where protein ubiquitination regulates protein signaling pathways, innate and adaptive immune responses to pathogens, and expression of surface receptors [4, 5, 10, 11]. In contrast, mono-ubiquitination of proteins does not result in degradation, but rather can serve to modulate protein function, localization and/or sorting within the cell [4, 5, 11].

Unlike ubiquitination, ISGylation is an IFN-stimulated and regulated process that appears to mimic mono-ubiquitination functions, such as modulation of enzymatic activity, rather than the poly-ubiquitination function and protein degradation [12–16]. Like ubiquitination, ISGylation involves a cascade of enzymatic reactions involving E1, E2, and E3 enzymes. Briefly, ISG15 is synthesized as precursor protein (165 aa) that is like ubiquitin, subsequently cleaved to reveal di-glycine residues at its C-terminus in the form of a LRLRGG motif. This GG motif is adenylated in the presence of ATP, and ISG15 is then transferred sequentially from E1, E2 and E3 enzymes to its final destination on a lysine residue within the target substrate [11]. Because of the many similarities of the ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways, it is not surprising that there is some degree of overlap and competition between these pathways [17–21]. While the E1 enzymes are specific for ISGylation and ubiquitination, some of the enzymes that function in the ubiquitination pathway (e.g. E2 enzyme UbcH8) have a similar function in the ISGylation pathway [19, 21]. One of the features that is common to both pathways is that both ubiquitination and ISGylation are reversible. De-ubiquitinating enzymes and de-ISGylation enzymes (e.g. UBP43) function to cleave ubiquitin and/or ISG15 moieties from conjugated proteins [22–25]. De-ubiquitination and de-ISGylation provide an additional level of regulation in these pathways to maintain homeostasis [25].

**Ubiquitination and Virus Budding**

Over the last decade, numerous reports have demonstrated that the host ubiquitination machinery is linked to the process of budding or egress for many RNA virus fam-
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virus budding [30, 35, 36, 41–58]. In sum, ubiquitination
has been linked or at least implicated to play a role in the
budding process of retroviruses, rhabdoviruses, filovirus-
es, arenaviruses, paramyxoviruses and reoviruses [26].
Thus, small molecule inhibitors designed to block the
ubiquitination process and/or viral L-domain function
may have broad-spectrum antiviral activity.

ISG15 Has Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Activity

While ubiquitin appears to be a broad-spectrum en-
hancer of virus production, ISG15 on the other hand ap-
ppears to be a broad-spectrum inhibitor of virus produc-
tion. Antiviral activity associated with protein ISGylation
in vitro and/or in vivo has been reported for both DNA and
RNA viruses, including influenza A and B, Sindbis, hepa-
titis B, herpes simplex type-1, murine γ-herpesvirus, ve-
sicular stomatitis virus, lymphocytic choriomeningitis vi-
rus, respiratory syncytial virus, HIV-1 and Ebola virus
[59–64]. Recent studies have provided some new and im-
portant insights into the molecular mechanisms by which
ISG15 functions as an innate antiviral protein with broad-
spectrum activity in vitro and in vivo. Results from sev-
eral of the most recent studies will be summarized below.

ISG15 and Sindbis Virus

First, an elegant paper by Lenschow et al. [65] was of
significance as it helped to prove that protein ISGylation
and ISG15 possessed broad-spectrum antiviral activity in
an animal model of infection, and the findings under-
scored the importance of employing both in vitro and in
vivo models for analyses of antiviral mechanisms. One of
the major findings was that ISG15−/− mice were more
susceptible to infection with influenza A/WSN/33, influenza
B/Lee/40, Sindbis, herpes simplex type 1, and murine γ-herpesvirus [65]. Importantly, the ability of ISG15
to be conjugated to target proteins appeared to be neces-
sary for the observed antiviral effect, since Sindbis virus
infection could be recovered by overexpressing wild-type
ISG15, but not by overexpressing a nonconjugating mu-
tant of ISG15 [65]. Although ISG15 conjugation was im-
portant for the observed antiviral effect, the target sub-
strate(s) for ISGylation was not identified. Since ISG15 is
now known to have more than 150 targets [11], the anti-

 ISG15 and HIV-1

IFN was shown to inhibit retroviral and lentiviral vi-
rion release [67, 68], and ISG15 was implicated in IFN-
mediated inhibition of HIV-1 [69, 70]. As ubiquitination of Gag protein was shown to play a role in HIV-1 assem-
bly and budding, it seemed logical to hypothesize that
ISG15 could interfere with ubiquitination of Gag and
consequently disrupt this late stage of virus replication.
Indeed, recent findings indicated that this hypothesis
was correct. Okumura et al. [71] were the first to clearly
demonstrate that expression of ISG15 mimicked the an-
tiviral properties of IFN and blocked egress of HIV-1 from infected cells. The authors found that expression of
ISG15 appeared to block the ubiquitination process that
normally enhances HIV-1 Gag-mediated release of infec-
tious virus [71]. Interestingly, ISG15 did not affect syn-
thesis of viral proteins in the infected cell and, unlike the
findings with other viral systems [65, 72], conjugation of ISG15 to either viral (HIV-1 Gag), or host (tsg101) proteins involved in budding was not evident [71]. However, ISG15 expression did disrupt the interaction between host tsg101 and the p6 domain of HIV-1 Gag. This virus-host interaction has been shown previously to be crucial for efficient budding of HIV-1. To further prove that the inhibitory effect on budding was specific for ISG15, the authors demonstrated that ISG15-specific siRNAs reversed the IFN-mediated inhibitory effect on HIV-1 release [71]. While the precise mechanism by which ISG15 interferes with the budding process of HIV-1 remains to be defined, the authors postulated that the decrease in ubiquitination of Gag and tsg101 may modify the binding affinity of this interaction or destabilize this complex. In addition, the authors noted that since components of the ubiquitination pathway were known to be targets for ISGylation [20, 73, 74], ISG15 may target one or more of the E1, E2, or E3 enzymes involved in HIV-1 egress. In sum, these findings were of significance in that: (1) they revealed an IFN-induced innate immune response that could target a late stage of HIV-1 replication, and (2) they suggested that ISG15 may have broad-spectrum antiviral activity against other RNA viruses that utilize L-domain/ ubiquitination pathways for efficient egress.

**ISG15 and Ebola VLP Budding**

Most recently, independent studies from two groups revealed that ISG15 could inhibit budding mediated by the VP40 matrix protein of Ebola virus, and the mechanism of inhibition involved disruption of Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination of Ebola VP40 [75, 76] (fig. 1). Like HIV-1, the host ubiquitination pathway and E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4 have been linked to budding of negative-sense RNA virus such as Ebola virus and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) [30, 35, 39, 53, 55, 77]. In a prior publication, Malakhov et al. [23] identified Nedd4 as a binding partner for Ubip43, an ISG15-deconjugating enzyme. Thus, Malakhova and Zhang [76] sought to determine whether Nedd4 could be targeted by ISG15 and whether this potential interaction would negatively regulate Nedd4 ligase activity. Indeed, the authors found that expression of ISG15 and the ISGylation system led to an overall decrease in Nedd4-ligase activity and Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination [76]. They demonstrated further that free ISG15 was sufficient to impair Nedd4 ligase activity both in vitro and in vivo [76]. Importantly, they determined that the molecular mechanism of ISG15-mediated inhibition of Nedd4 function was due to an abrogation of ubiquitin transfer from the E2 enzyme to the active site of Nedd4 [76], thus preventing Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination of target proteins.

As further proof of this novel mechanism, Malakhova and Zhang [76] utilized the Ebola VP40 VLP budding assay as a model system to assess Nedd4 function in the absence or presence of ISG15 and the ISGylation system. Indeed, they found that efficient budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs, which is dependent in part on Nedd4 function, was impaired in the presence of ISG15. The decrease in VP40 VLP release correlated well with an observed decrease in the detection of ubiquitinated forms of VP40. Lastly, budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs was shown to be enhanced in ISG15−/− knockout cells compared to that from normal murine embryonic fibroblasts [76], suggesting that endogenous levels of ISG15 can limit budding in unmanipulated cells.

In a simultaneous and independent report, our studies reached many of the same conclusions described above using both similar and distinct experimental approaches [75]. Prior to this study, we were one of the first groups to suggest that the ubiquitination process and Nedd4 ligase may be important for budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs, and that the PPxY-type L-domain within the VP40 protein was necessary for mediating interactions with the various WW-domains present within Nedd4 [30, 56]. Our main objective in this area of investigation was to elucidate the mechanism of Ebola VP40 VLP budding and host interactions at the molecular level in order to develop novel strategies or antiviral therapies to inhibit the budding process. In agreement with results of Malakhova and Zhang [76], we found that expression of ISG15 in the absence or presence of the ISGylation system (UbE1L and UbcH8) was able to inhibit budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs [75]. We have demonstrated not only that ISG15 interacted with Nedd4 ligase to inhibit ubiquitination of VP40, but also that ISG15-mediated inhibition of VP40 budding was dependent on the presence of VP40 L-domains. Indeed, budding of an L-domain deletion mutant of VP40 known to be insensitive to Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination, was also insensitive to ISG15-mediated inhibition of budding [75]. Lastly, inhibition of Ebola VP40 VLP budding by ISG15 was evident only in the presence of wild-type Nedd4, and not in the presence of a dominant-negative, enzymatically inactive mutant of Nedd4 [75]. Together, these findings revealed a previously undescribed mechanism of antiviral activity for ISG15.

Interestingly, like Malakhova and Zhang [76], our group was able to demonstrate that expression of ISG15...
also had an inhibitory effect on budding of VSV; a rhabdovirus that possesses a similar PPxY-type L-domain that interacts with Nedd4 ligase to promote efficient virion budding [75, 76]. Thus, ISG15-mediated inhibition of budding may not be limited to Ebola. We found that overexpression of ISG15 resulted in titers of wild-type VSV that were on average 10-fold lower than those measured in the absence of ISG15 [75]. Moreover, overexpression of ISG15 was found to have virtually no inhibitory effect on budding of VSV mutant PY>A4, a L-domain mutant of VSV that is insensitive to Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination [75]. Thus, viruses that utilize the host ubiquitination machinery for efficient egress may be susceptible to the inhibitory effect of ISG15.

**ISG15 and Influenza Virus**

As the above studies highlight, the antiviral activity of ISG15 against different viruses can be both dependent or independent of ISG15 conjugation. In a recent study by Lai et al. [78], the authors demonstrate that antiviral activity of ISG15 against influenza B virus requires conjugation of ISG15 to target proteins. Although these authors had shown previously that mice lacking ISG15 were highly susceptible to influenza B virus infection, it was unclear whether ISG15 conjugation to target proteins was important for this antiviral activity. Using mice lacking the E1 activating enzyme for ISG15 conjugation (UbE1L−/− mice), the authors observed a 2–3 log increase in titers of influenza B virus in the lungs of these mice at 3 and 6 days after infection compared to those observed in wild-type mice [78]. In addition, the kinetics of lethality and survival observed in the UbE1L−/− mice infected with influenza B virus were essentially identical to those observed in ISG15−/− mice [78]. The authors concluded that the antiviral activity of ISG15 against influenza B virus in this in vivo model of infection is dependent on ISG15 conjugation; however, the identity of proteins targeted for ISGylation remains to be determined.

**Viruses Fight Back**

Viruses have evolved many elegant strategies to counteract host immune responses, particularly IFN-related pathways. Interestingly, several reports suggest that some viruses possess the ability to specifically counteract the antiviral effects of ISG15 and/or ISGylation. For example, one of the earliest reports by Yuan and Krug [72], demonstrated that the NS1 protein of influenza B virus prevents the activation of ISG15 by the E1 enzyme UbE1L, thus preventing ISG15 conjugation to target proteins. More recently, a unique strategy to inhibit both ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways was identified in nairoviruses and arteriviruses [79]. These viruses were shown to encode ovarian tumor domain-containing proteases capable of hydrolyzing both ubiquitin and ISG15 from target proteins [79]. Thus, the nairo- and arteriviruses appear to have developed a strategy to antagonize the antiviral effects of ISG15 [79]. Finally, in a comprehensive report by Guerra et al. [80], the authors not only demonstrate that ISG15 possesses antiviral activity against vaccinia virus, but also show that viral E3 protein can bind to ISG15 and disrupt its antiviral activity. Thus, a vaccinia virus strain lacking E3 (VVDeltaE3L) was unable to replicate in ISG15+/+ cells, but was able to replicate in ISG15-deficient cells [80]. Moreover, infection of ISG15−/− mice with VVDeltaE3L resulted in significant disease and mortality, which was not observed in ISG15+/+ mice infected with VVDeltaE3L [80]. The ability of other viruses to specifically target and abrogate ISGylation pathways as a means of immune evasion is of interest and awaits further investigation.

**Summary and Future Directions**

The host innate immune response is a critical first line of defense against invading viral pathogens. A better understanding of the distinct innate immune responses and the interplay between the virus and host is important for our understanding of immunopathogenesis, vaccine design, and development of new therapeutic strategies. The ISGs represent a vast array of proteins with a complex series of functions and outcomes. However, rapid progress has been made into this dynamic area of innate immunity, and the reports summarized here highlight some recent and insightful findings on the antiviral activity of ISG15. Despite these advancements, many gaps in our knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of ISG15 antiviral activity remain to be addressed. Some areas for further investigation include: (1) identifying the viral and/or host target proteins for ISG15 in viral infections where ISG15 conjugation is required for its antiviral activity; (2) determining whether expression and/or conjugation of ISG15 impairs replication, budding, and pathogenesis of infectious Ebola virus in cell culture and in the ISG15 animal models of infection; (3) determining whether ISG15 inhibits assembly/budding of additional L-do-
main-containing RNA viruses (e.g. Marburg virus) that likely utilize ubiquitination pathways for efficient replication and spread; (4) development of novel strategies to modulate the ISGylation system in an effort to enhance the innate immune response and more effectively combat viral infections, and (5) investigating the functional overlap of cellular ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways, the potential regulatory cross talk between these pathways, and their role in immune responses to invading pathogens.
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