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Abstract
Objective: To correlate the degree of severity in patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis to pulmonary function data and to their respiratory symptoms. Methods: Pulmonary function and the MCR questionnaire on respiratory symptoms were made in 43 patients with simple mitral stenosis (SMS), and 79 patients with advanced mitral stenosis scheduled for valve replacement surgery (MVR). Results: All the patients in the two groups had restrictive and obstructive patterns. They had reduced forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), peak expiratory flow rate, maximal flow after exhalation of 75, 50 and 25% of FVC and single-breath carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO) and increased total airway resistance, resistance during expiration, and residual volume (RV). The SMS group tended toward more normal values. The MVR group had lower values for all the parameters, specially FVC, FEV₁, DLCO and higher RV. The MVR group had a significantly higher prevalence of cough, phlegm and acute chest illness than the SMS group. Conclusion: The study confirmed the restrictive and obstructive pattern of pulmonary dysfunction in patients with rheumatic mitral stenosis which related with the severity of mitral stenosis and with respiratory symptoms.

Introduction
There has often been a discrepancy between the assessment of the severity of mitral stenosis by symptoms and assessment by measurement of lung function [1–3]. Although some relationship had been shown between lung function and severity of dyspnoea, this does not necessarily give information about the cardiac contribution since both could reflect respiratory involvement [4, 5].
The interrelation between the cardiac and the respiratory system is evident from similar symptoms in cardiopulmonary diseases such as chronic cough, haemoptysis, orthopnoea, shortness of breath with exercise and wheezing [6, 7]. The first attempt to explain the mechanism of respiratory symptoms due to cardiac diseases was based on post-mortem studies of the lungs [8].

Earlier workers correlated pulmonary function data to the chronicity of mitral stenosis. Reduction in vital capacity (VC) was more severe in patients with advanced disease [9]. Reduction of VC and total lung capacity (TLC) and an increase in residual volume (RV) were more in patients with long-standing disease [4]. Palmer et al. [5] observed a poor correlation between pre-operative VC and the severity of dyspnoea in patients with mitral stenosis. Cosby et al. [10] reported that the degree of dyspnoea showed a closer relation to the height of pulmonary artery pressure and to VC, than to maximum breathing capacity in the pure mitral stenosis patients [10]. Dogliotti et al. [11] reported reduction of VC, RV, TLC and maximum breathing capacity in mitral stenosis patients with more severe disease, according to the mitral valve area measured at operation. In the previous study, we showed significant correlation of the pre-operative lung function status to the haemodynamic data in advanced mitral stenosis [12].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the disturbances of pulmonary function and the severity of rheumatic mitral stenosis in a group of patients with simple mitral stenosis (SMS) and in another group with advanced stenosis who required mitral valve replacement surgery (MVR). We also attempted to correlate the severity of respiratory symptoms in these two groups of patients with lung function parameters.

Method

Patients

Pulmonary function studies were performed on 43 patients with SMS, diagnosed on the basis of history, clinical assessment and echocardiography [13]. Another group of patients consisted of 79 patients with advanced mitral stenosis who required MVR. The indications for surgery were effort intolerance and evidence of significant haemodynamic derangements obtained at catheterization and angiocardiography [14]. The age and sex distribution are shown in table 1. Twenty-three patients in the SMS group and 36 patients in the MVR group answered the MRC questionnaire on respiratory symptoms (1965) at the time of performing the lung function tests [15]. None of the patients were smokers, or had a history of asthma or any primary lung disease.

Calibration and Standardization

The pneumotachographs and pressure transducers were calibrated with standard flow rates, volumes, and pressures. The carbon monoxide and helium analysers were calibrated with standard gases. The ambient temperature, barometric pressure, and the patient’s weight, height, age, and sex were entered and the printout values for all pulmonary function data were obtained as actual values at BTPS, and as percent of predicted using prediction nomograms for VC and forced expired volume in 1 s (FEV1) [16], flow volume curve parameters [17], TLC and RV [18] and diffusing capacity (DLCO) [19, 20].

Pulmonary Function Tests

All subjects in the MVR group were receiving digoxin and diuretics, and none of them was considered to be in cardiac failure. Pulmonary function tests were done during the morning in a sitting position a few days before the cardiac surgery. The studies were performed with a computerized pulmonary function system (Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany). Measurement of VC, maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV), and flow volume curves obtained using a pneumotachograph, and the manoeuvres were monitored on an oscilloscope screen and X-Y recorder. Three to five VC and forced vital capacity (FVC) manoeuvres were performed, with a period of rest in-between, and the values were digitized to give FVC, MVV, FEV1, FEV1/FVC ratio, peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), and flow rates at 75, 50 and 25% of expired VC, corrected to BTPS (Vmax75, Vmax50, Vmax25, respectively). Airway resistance (Rtot and Rey) and the thoracic gas volume at which airway resistance was determined were mea-
Fig. 1. Frequency distribution histogram of lung function test parameters of patients with SMS and MVR. Values are expressed as % predicted except for airway resistance (cm H2O/l/s).

The frequency distribution of lung function data in SMS and MVR groups is shown in figure 1. In the SMS group it can be noted that 85% of the patients had a reduced FVC to less than 80% of predicted. For FEV1, PEFR and Vmax50, 80, 58 and 90% of patients had values less than 80% of the predicted, respectively. In the MVR group, it is noted that 90% of the patients had FVC less than 80% of predicted for FEV1, PEFR and Vmax50. 92, 55 and 90% of patients had values less than 80%
Table 1. Comparison of pre-operative lung function test values for patients scheduled for MVR and those with SMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>FVC % pred</th>
<th>RV % pred</th>
<th>TLC % pred</th>
<th>MVV % pred</th>
<th>DLCO % pred</th>
<th>FEV1 % pred</th>
<th>PEFR % pred</th>
<th>Vmax50 % pred</th>
<th>Rtot cm H₂O/ c/sec</th>
<th>Rex cm H₂O/ l/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±12.3</td>
<td>±5.8</td>
<td>±16.4</td>
<td>±24.4</td>
<td>±11.1</td>
<td>±17.9</td>
<td>±20.9</td>
<td>±16.6</td>
<td>±14.3</td>
<td>±19.8</td>
<td>±1.83</td>
<td>±2.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>134.0</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±11.7</td>
<td>±9.5</td>
<td>±14.5</td>
<td>±43.4</td>
<td>±11.7</td>
<td>±23.3</td>
<td>±15.9</td>
<td>±17.6</td>
<td>±28.1</td>
<td>±20.5</td>
<td>±1.49</td>
<td>±3.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±12.0</td>
<td>±6.2</td>
<td>±16.9</td>
<td>±35.7</td>
<td>±12.9</td>
<td>±21.2</td>
<td>±21.8</td>
<td>±20.2</td>
<td>±16.2</td>
<td>±19.7</td>
<td>±2.05</td>
<td>±3.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±11.4</td>
<td>±5.9</td>
<td>±14.4</td>
<td>±48.3</td>
<td>±17.2</td>
<td>±19.5</td>
<td>±20.1</td>
<td>±17.3</td>
<td>±20.1</td>
<td>±20.1</td>
<td>±1.26</td>
<td>±2.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- FVC = Forced vital capacity; RV = residual volume; TLC = total lung capacity; MVV = maximal voluntary ventilation; DLCO = gas transfer; FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 s; PEFR = peak expiratory flow rate; Vmax50 = flow rate at 50% of FVC; Rtot = total airway resistance; Rex = resistance during expiration.
- Values are mean of percent predicted ± SD. Probability values are for comparing the MVR group with the SMS group in both males and females.

predicted, respectively. None of the differences between the SMS and MVR groups reached the level of statistical significance. Table 1 shows the comparison of the lung function parameters of patients in SMS and MVR groups as divided into male and female groups. It can be seen that both the groups had reduced FVC, MVV, DLCO, FEV1, PEFR and Vmax50, and increased RV and expiratory airway resistance. It can be noted that the male patients of the SMS group had statistically significant higher values for FVC (p < 0.01), DLCO (p < 0.01), FEV1 (p < 0.05) and significantly smaller RV (p < 0.05). Comparison of female patients in the SMS and MVR groups showed that the SMS group had significantly higher values for FVC and FEV1 (p < 0.05) when compared to the MVR group.

The frequency of symptoms among the SMS and MVR groups irrespective of their sex is compared in Table 2. It can be noted that there were more patients in the MVR group complaining of acute chest illness (acute bronchitis), than in the SMS group to significant level (p < 0.01), as well as from cough and phlegm (p < 0.05). Dyspnoea and wheezing were common symptoms but there were no significant differences between MVR and SMS groups. Chronic bronchitis was the least common complaint in both groups. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of respiratory symptoms between the males and females in the two groups studied.

Discussion

This study revealed an obstructive ventilatory impairment in patients with mitral stenosis, decreased flow rates (PEFR, Vmax50), decreased FEV1/FVC ratio, increased thoracic gas volume and airway resistance. It also re-
Table 2. Number of patients for various respiratory symptoms for SMS and MVR groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Chi-square test</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlegm</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic bronchitis</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute chest illness</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking</td>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fisher’s exact test.

vealed a restrictive pattern, reduction of VC, RV, TLC, diffusing capacity and MVV [24] (fig. 1, table 1). The restrictive pattern in both male and female patients confirms the results of several previous studies [5, 9, 11, 12, 25, 26]. Curti et al. [9] found slight to moderate reduction of VC, TLC and DLCO in most of their 16 patients. Cosby et al. [10] found a reduction of VC in their patients with mitral stenosis. Palmer et al. [5] studied 30 patients with chronic mitral valve disease. They reported a reduction in VC of approximately 65–80% of predicted, and reduction of diffusing capacity [5]. Wood et al. [25] studied 23 patients with mitral stenosis pre-operatively and they reported a reduction of VC. Rhodes et al. [26] studied the effect of mitral valve disease on the lung function in 26 patients, and they confirmed the reduction of VC and transfer factor. The evidence for obstructive airway pattern in this study is in fair agreement with the report of the earlier workers [5, 12, 26, 27]. Palmer et al. [5] reported reduction of mean values for the maximal mid-expiratory flow rate and an increased airway resistance. Rhodes et al. [26] and Singh et al. [27] reported a reduction of FEV1, and an increase in RV. To a large extent, the restrictive and obstructive patterns in mitral stenosis can be explained on the basis of pulmonary vascular congestions, interstitial oedema and increased pulmonary water [28, 29]. Similar pulmonary function changes were observed in experimental pulmonary oedema in dogs [30].

All of the usual respiratory symptoms have been documented in mitral stenosis. Dyspnoea and shortness of breath during exercise are the most common complaints. In addition, orthopnoea, acute episodic dyspnoea,
chronic cough, recurrent pulmonary infection, wheezing and haemoptysis may occur [6, 7, 14, 31]. These symptoms would suggest disease of the lung. Therefore, the value of assessment of pulmonary function in mitral stenosis was well recognized [5, 10, 11, 26–28]. Earlier workers correlated different pulmonary function data with the clinical severity of the disease, and reported that the reduction of the VC was more in those with the severe disease [5, 9, 26]. The group of patients with SMS had insignificant effort intolerance or mild significant effort intolerance according to Wood’s modification of the New York Heart Association classification of the effort intolerance. The group of SMS in this study had a mitral early diastolic closure (MEC) to the value of 30 mm/s or more [13]. In general, surgery is deferred in patients with mitral orifice size more than approximately 1.0 cm²/m² BSA when assessed by echocardiography [32]. As shown in the present study, the group with SMS had significantly less severe respiratory symptoms than the group of patients with advanced mitral stenosis and required surgery, and also had better values for the pulmonary function data. The MVR group had a significantly higher prevalence of cough phlegm and acute chest illness than the SMS group (table 2). The SMS group had a less restrictive pattern, with FVC significantly higher and a higher DLCO as well as less obstructive pattern than the MVR group. Furthermore, the SMS group had significantly higher FEV₁ and higher Vmax₅₀ (table 1).

The fact that pathological changes occur in the lungs of patients with mitral stenosis had been generally accepted [33, 34]. Larrabee et al. [34] described thickening of the capillary basement membrane, scarring and loss of capillaries in post-mortem studies. However, there is no agreement regarding the physiological and the clinical significance of these pulmonary lesions. Some clinicians remain relatively unaware of the impact of the cardiac valvular disease on pulmonary function. Long-standing mitral stenosis is known to produce gross pathologic feature of haemosiderosis and stiff parenchymal tissue [35]. The organization of long-standing interstitial and alveolar pulmonary oedema may result in fibrosis and calcification [36]. The arterioles and small muscular arteries show thickening of the media. The pulmonary venules and small veins show initial fibrosis and thickening. Bronchial veins are quite prominent and varices may be present [36–39]. The lungs may therefore be stiffer than normal, and this will predispose to the respiratory symptoms in patients with mitral stenosis.

Several factors are known to contribute to the pathogenesis of airflow obstruction in patients with mitral valve disease. Hypertrophied and hyperplastic airway smooth muscle and increased wall thickness cause heightened bronchial hyperreactivity [40, 41]. It is suggested that pulmonary congestion and epithelial inflammation secondary to repeated respiratory tract infection with or without antigenic stimulation, which activate sensory nerve ending in the oedematous lower airways, cause bronchoconstriction by increasing vagal efferent activity [6, 28, 42, 43]. Mediators released from mast cells, formed blood elements, complement fragments, could also stimulate sensory nerve ending and result in reflex sensitization of bronchomotor tone [44, 45]. The damaged airway epithelial cells activate arachidonic acid metabolism, releasing leukotriene B₄ and mediators from mast cells such as 5-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid, that directly produce bronchospasm [46]. It has been suggested that stimulation of platelets by platelet-activating factor (PAF-Acether) may release inflammatory mediators that directly cause bronchial smooth muscle to contract [47–49]. Rheumatic fever is a consequence of immunologic response or hypersen-
sitivity reaction, or both to streptococcal anti-
gens, which may affect the bronchial system although its main effect is on the heart [50].

The study confirmed restrictive and ob-
structive patterns of pulmonary dysfunction. The deterioration in lung function in mitral
stenosis correlated with the severity of stenot-
ic valves and correlated with the severity of respiratory symptoms. It is recommended
that lung function studies which are non-inva-
sive, rapid and relatively inexpensive can pro-
vide valuable information in the assessment of valvular heart disease, and the long-term
effect on the lung function values.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Dr. N.C. Syabbalo, Faculty of
Medicine, Kuwait University for helpful advice on the

References

1 Bergy GG, Bruce RA: Discrepancies between subjective and objective re-
2 Chapman CB, Mitchell JH, Sproule BJ, Polter D, Williams B: Maximal
oxygen intake test in patients with predominant mitral stenosis. Circu-
3 Holmgren A, Jonsson B, Linderm-
holm H, Sjestrand T, Strom G: Physical working capacity in cases of mitral valvular disease in relation to
4 Frank NR, Cugell DW, Gaensler
EA, Eliss LB: Ventilatory studies in
mitral stenosis: A comparison with
findings in primary pulmonary dis-
5 Palmer WH, Gee JBL, Mills FC,
Bate DV: Disturbances of pulmo-
nary function in mitral valve dis-
ese. Gen Med Assoc J 1963;89:
744–750.
6 Julian DG: Cardiology. London,
Baillière Tindall, 1973, pp 205–
216.
7 Ross J Jr: Mitral stenosis; in Bea-
con PB, McDermott W: Textbook of
Medicine. Philadelphia, Saunders,
1973, pp 962–967.
8 Parker F Jr, Weiss S: The nature and significance of the structural
changes in the lungs in mitral steno-
9 Curti PC, Cohen G, Castleman B,
Sannell JG, Friedlich AL, Mayers
GS: Respiratory and circulatory
studies of patients with mitral steno-
10 Cosby RS, Stowell EC Jr, Hartwig
WR, Mayo M: Abnormal ventilato-
ry patterns in mitral stenosis. Br J
11 Dogliotti GC, Angelino PF, Brusia
A, Garbagni R, Gravosto Mgr G,
Menetto E: Pulmonary function in
mitral valve disease: Haemody-
namic and ventilatory studies. Am J
12 Mustafa KY, Nour MM, Shuhaiber
H, Yousof AM: Pulmonary function before and sequentially after valve
replacement surgery with correla-
tion to preoperative haemodynamic
data. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;130:
400–406.
13 Yousof AM, Endrus G, Steinhart L,
Zyka I: Mitral echocardiography. II.
14 Yousof AM, Shafie MZ, Kachins-
ka G, Al-Yousof AR, Sallam I: Long-
15 A statement of the committee of standards for epidemiologic surveys of
chronic respiratory disease of the
American Thoracic Society. C. Le-
maistre, Chairman, New York, Na-
tional Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease Association, 1969, p 32.
16 Anderson TW, Brown JR, Hall JW,
Sheppard RJ: The limitation of li-
near regression for the prediction of
vital capacity and forced expiratory
volume. Respiration 1968;25:140–
158.
17 American Thoracic Society: Stan-
dardization of spirometry: 1994 up-
date. Am Rev Respir Dis 1994;152:
1107–1136.
18 Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes
JE, Pedersen OF, Peslin R, Yernault
JC: Lung volumes and forced expir-
atory flows: Reports of the working
party, standardization of lung func-
tion tests, European Community for
Steel and Coal. Official statement of
the European Respiratory Society. Eur Respi r J 1993(suppl 16):5–
40.
19 Cotes JE: Lung Function Assess-
ment and Application in Medicine. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publica-
tions, 1979, p 370.
20 Billiet L, Baisser W, Naedts JP: Ef-
fekt of size, sex and age on pulmo-
nary diffusing capacity of the nor-
199–200.
21 DuBois AB, Botelho SY, Bedell GN,
Marshall R, Comroe JH Jr: A rapid
plethysmographic method for mea-
suring thoracic gas volume: A com-
parsion with nitrogen washout method for measuring functional res-
sidual capacity in normal subjects. J
22 Schmidt AM, Cohn JE: Modified body plethysmograph for study of
Lung Function and Severity of Mitral Stenosis

Med Principles Pract 1999;8:32–39