Fluoropyrimidine-Associated Toxicity in Two Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients: Potential Role of Common DPYD Polymorphisms
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Established Facts
- Fluoropyrimidine-based therapy is used extensively in oncology for the treatment of several solid tumors including gastrointestinal types of cancer.
- Some patients experience fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicity. The frequency and severity of these adverse events vary from patient to patient and are partially due to genetic polymorphism into the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPYD) gene.

Novel Insights
- DPYD*2A, DPYD*13, or DPYD D949V has a clear impact on the reduced enzyme activity but can account only for a small percentage of the toxicity due to a low allelic frequency.
- Our cases seem to reveal an upfront reduction of the fluoropyrimidine starting dose in patients carrying DPYD V732I in the homozygous or compound heterozygous state, although the exact degree of dose reduction should be determined in larger patient populations.
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Abstract
While the majority of patients can be treated safely with fluoropyrimidine, some experience severe fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicity. The frequency and severity of these adverse events vary from patient to patient and are partially explained by genetic polymorphism into the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPYD) gene. Carriers of the rare allelic variants DPYD*2A, DPYD*13, and DPYD D949V are more likely to experience severe adverse reactions during fluoropyrimidine-based therapy. However, these 3 genetic variants explain only a small percentage of the overall drug toxicity, and more frequent ones such as homozygous or compound heterozygous DPYD V732I can play a key role.
Introduction

Fluoropyrimidine-based therapy is used extensively in oncology for the treatment of several solid tumors including gastrointestinal types of cancer. While the majority of patients can be treated safely, some experience severe fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicity, which may be lethal. The frequency and severity of these adverse events vary from patient to patient and are partially explained by genetic polymorphism into the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene \((DPYD)\). This gene encodes for the initial and rate-limiting enzyme involved in the pyrimidine catabolism, i.e., dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), which converts 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) to dihydrofluorouracil (DHFU). Carriers of the allelic variants \(DPYD^*2A\) (intronic), \(DPYD^*13\) (I560S), and rs67376798 (D949V) are more likely to experience severe adverse reactions during fluoropyrimidine-based therapy, and consequently the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines recommend an alternative drug for individuals with allelic variants with a homozygous status and a starting dose of 50% for heterozygous carriers [1]. Due to a low allelic frequency, however, these 3 variants explain only a small percentage of the overall drug toxicity and more frequent \(DPYD\) variants may play a key role in this undetected heritability [2, 3].

Recently, Boige et al. [4] made important advances in this direction by investigating 25 \(DPYD\) variants in a large cohort of patients with stage III colon cancer treated with FOLFOX4 adjuvant chemotherapy. Among the 12 \(DPYD\) variants found to be polymorphic, statistically significant associations with grade 3 or greater fluorouracil adverse events were observed for carriers of rs67376798 (D949V; OR 6.3; 95% CI 2.0–27.0) and rs1801160 (V732I; OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.3–2.4) [4]. That study also confirmed the significant predictive value of rs67376798 (D949V), but not for \(DPYD^*2A\) and \(DPYD^*13\), which are the other variants included in the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines. This apparently surprising discrepancy may simply be due to the low allelic variant frequency in the population studied by Boige et al. [4]. Consequently, a strategy considering more frequent \(DPYD\) variants may be relevant to understand the cause-effect correlation between toxicity and heritability, which is currently lacking in the assessment of only rare variants. We investigated 2 cases of fluoropyrimidines-associated toxicity which were subsequently tested for \(DPYD\) functional polymorphisms to understand the origin of the toxicity.

Case 1

In December 2015, a 69-year-old woman underwent a right colectomy. During surgery peritoneal carcinomatosis was found and liver metastases emerged from the instrumental tests (final clinical stage IV). In February 2016 we started a first-line chemotherapy with FOLFOX-3 (oxaliplatin at 85 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1 and leucovorin at 500 mg/m\(^2\) and 5-FU at 3,000 mg/m\(^2\) as a 46-h infusion starting on day 1) and bevacizumab (5 mg/kg on day 1) every 2 weeks, with a reduced dose (to 75%) of oxaliplatin to for the kidney failure [5]. After the second cycle of chemotherapy grade 2 stomatitis appeared, while after the fourth cycle grade 4 diarrhea with significant dehydration and acute renal failure led to hospitalization in April 2016. In view of the toxicity, we continued folinic acid and 5-FU at dosages reduced to 75%. During the course of further chemotherapy, the patient had no additional toxicity or any hematological or gastrointestinal issues.

Case 2

In July 2016 a pulmonary and hepatic recurrence of an ampulla Vater adenocarcinoma was diagnosed in a 69-year-old man. In August 2016 a first-line full-dose chemotherapy was commenced.
following an mFLOX (modified FLOX) regimen (oxaliplatin at a dose of 85 mg/m² on days 1 and 8 and leucovorin at a dose of 50 mg/m² and 5-FU at a dose of 300 mg/m² on days 1, 8, and 15 every 28 days). After the first cycle, the patient had grade 2 nausea and vomiting, loss of appetite, grade 2 diarrhea, deterioration of his general condition, and a weight loss of 8 kg. The patient refused to continue with further chemotherapy due to toxicity.

**Genotyping**

The patients signed a written informed consent before genotyping. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood cells using an automatic DNA extraction system (Maxwell® 16 System; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All genotypes were determined by real-time PCR using a panel of LightSNiP from TIB-MolBiol (Berlin, Germany). At the end of the amplification a melting curve analysis was performed (LightCycler 480; Roche, Mannheim, Germany). We evaluated the well-known functional variants that are associated with fluoropyrimidine toxicity (Table 1). A pharmacogenetic test of case 1 revealed the presence of V732I in the homozygous state, and in case 2 the heterozygous state for V732I and for the intronic variant c.1129-5923C>G was found. This specific pharmacogenetic trait observed in both patients is compatible with a reduced DPD activity and may help physicians decide whether to reduce the fluoropyrimidine dose (Table 1).

**Discussion**

Fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicity is a well-recognized clinical problem that impacts a patient’s quality of life. The goal of pharmacogenetics is to develop a panel of functional variants that are able to define a reduced DPD enzyme activity. As rightly underlined by Deenen and Meulendijks [6], screening for DPYD variants may not eliminate all fluoropyrimidine-associated toxicities; however, the evidence regarding the clinical utility of DPYD genotype-guided dosing of fluoropyrimidines is such that it should be considered in international clinical practice guidelines. Until now, pretherapeutic DPYD pharmacogenetic testing to prevent fluoropyrimidine-related toxicities has not been a very common practice in medical oncology; however, the evidence of the clinical validity and specificity of the DPYD*2A, DPYD*13, and DPYD rs67376798 genotyping test to prevent fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity and to preserve treatment compliance could change the habits in medical oncology [7, 8]. The more common deep intronic variant c.1129-5923C>G has been significantly linked to severe 5-FU toxicity [9, 10]. This variant affects DPD pre-mRNA splicing by creating a cryptic splice donor site and results in a premature stop codon [9]. The effect of the new common nonsynonymous DPYD variant V732I is still not fully elucidated, and we cannot rule out that V732I may only be in linkage disequilibrium with the causal variants. Since its association with fluorouracil-related adverse events was found in a large study of stage III colon cancer patients treated with FOLFOX4 chemotherapy, its role could be crucial. Our report advocates for a more comprehensive genotyping approach to safely administer the fluoropyrimidine dose, with the goal of obtaining the highest chance of cure despite the risk of treatment-related toxicity. However, further studies are needed to confirm and quantify the V732I associations before including it, as homozygous or compound heterozygous, into a pretherapeutic DPYD pharmacogenetic model of fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity.
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