Established Facts
- Ponatinib is a highly effective treatment for Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia with and without T315I mutation, and it is well tolerated.

Novel Insights
- Ponatinib as a single-agent treatment can induce molecular complete responses in Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients without T315I mutation after early relapse following allogeneic stem cell transplantation, and it can induce a graft-versus-host disease/graft-versus-leukemia (GVHD/GVL) effect through immunomodulatory activity.
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Abstract
We describe the case of a patient with Philadelphia-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with dasatinib plus steroids as first-line therapy, who achieved a major molecular response (MMR) before undergoing matched, unrelated donor allogeneic stem cell transplant. Eleven months after the transplant, she experienced molecular relapse. Mutational screening showed negativity for the T315I mutation. The patient underwent a salvage chemotherapy regimen with clofarabine + cyclophosphamide + steroids and ponatinib (clofarabine 70 mg i.v., days 1–5, cyclophosphamide 700 mg i.v., days 1–5, and ponatinib 45 mg p.o., daily starting at day 15). We observed a rapid decrease in minimal residual
The Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), resulting from a balanced translocation between chromosome 9 and 22, is the most frequent cytogenetic abnormality in leukemia and represents the cytogenetic alteration most often associated with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The formation of the BCR/ABL fusion gene derived from the t(9;22) translocation occurs in almost 20–30% of adults with ALL and in almost 3% of pediatric patients. The frequency of the Ph chromosome in ALL increases with age and is present in over 50% of patients over 50 years of age [1].

At the time of diagnosis, when compared with Ph-negative ALL, Ph-positive (Ph+ ALL) patients generally present with a higher number of white blood cells. At the onset of the disease, the central nervous system is involved in approximately 5% of cases, but the possibility of central nervous system involvement is present in all stages of the disease.

Before the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) the prognosis of Ph+ ALL patients was traditionally poor; the percentage of complete remissions obtained by conventional or intensive treatment regimens ranged from 60 to 70% and the percentage of long-term survival was 35–40% in children to less than 20% in adults [2].

Imatinib, dasatinib, and ponatinib are TKIs directed against the ABL tyrosine kinase and have been approved for the treatment of Ph+ ALL. These agents have radically changed the clinical course of ALL in these patients [3–6]. TKIs can be used as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy and result in hematological remission rates exceeding 90% [7].

Although outcome has improved substantially with TKI-based regimens compared with historic controls, allogeneic stem cell transplantation in first remission provides the best chance of cure for the majority of eligible patients. However, relapse due to the development of resistance mutations in the ABL kinase domain remains a major obstacle [4, 5].

In fact, in 50% of cases relapses are due to the appearance of molecular mutations, such as the T315I mutation associated with a TKI-resistant BCR/ABL1 clone, and therefore are not responsive to the first- and second-generation TKIs imatinib and dasatinib, and nilotinib [4, 5].

Ponatinib (AP24534) is a potent oral TKI that blocks native and mutated BCR/ABL [7]. In vitro experiments have shown that ponatinib has potent activity against native BCR/ABL protein and against all tested mutant forms of BCR/ABL, including the gatekeeper mutant T315I [7]. Three clinical trials have confirmed the efficacy of ponatinib in the relapsed and front-line setting in Ph+ ALL patients, even in the presence of the T315I mutation. These data support the characterization of ponatinib as a pan–BCR/ABL inhibitor and therefore supports its use in Ph+ ALL patients resistant or intolerant to dasatinib and for whom subsequent treatment with imatinib is not clinically appropriate, or in patients harboring the T315I mutation [8–10].
same day, immunosuppressive therapy (cyclosporine) was discontinued. Two subsequent evaluations of P190 BCR/ABL at days 210 and 239 confirmed an MMR.

The posttransplant period was complicated by a fungal and bacterial lung infection with sputum positive for \textit{Candida albicans} and \textit{Staphylococcus haemolyticus} and by peripheral neuropathy (WHO grade 2).

Eleven months after the HSC transplant, at day 267, the patient experienced an increase in detectable P190 BCR/ABL transcript levels (0.07%) without any evidence of T315I mutation. In March 2016 a new molecular evaluation showed a further rise of the P190 BCR/ABL transcript (7%), thus the patient was admitted to our ward and underwent a salvage chemotherapy regimen with clofarabine + cyclophosphamide + steroids and ponatinib (clofarabine 70 mg, days 1–5, cyclophosphamide 700 mg, days 1–5, and ponatinib 45 mg, daily starting on day 15). We observed a rapid decrease of minimal residual disease at molecular assessment with an MMR of P190 of 0.01% confirmed by bone marrow evaluations on days +23, +59, +108, and +191 following the first day of salvage chemotherapy (Fig. 1).

After starting ponatinib, on day 60 we observed persistent lymphocytosis and the patient experienced a skin GVHD-like reaction with nettle rash (Fig. 2). Immunophenotyping analysis from peripheral blood showed an increase of T-suppressor lymphocytes (CD8+ = 2,422 cells/μL) and natural killer T cells (3,550 cells/μL) associated with a reduction of CD4+ T cells (278 cells/μL) which resolved about 2 weeks after oral steroid therapy (prednisone 1 mg/kg for 15 days).

To date, this patient continues to show hematologic remission and MMR. She is continuing therapy with ponatinib 45 mg/day with reasonable tolerance in the absence of hematological, cardiovascular, infectious, or endocrine complications.

**Discussion**

Here, we describe the case of a Ph+ ALL patient without T315I mutation, with early relapse after HSC transplant, who achieved a persistent complete response with negative minimal residual disease after treatment with single-agent ponatinib. Detectable levels of BCR/ABL transcripts are predictive of a relapse of the disease [11], even though 2 months after allogeneic transplant there was no evidence of a hematological relapse (bone marrow morphology indicated <5% blasts). Considering the rapid rise in transcript levels during longitudinal monitoring, with an increase of approximately 2 logs in transcript levels, chemotherapy was initiated.

**Fig. 1.** Bone marrow transcript levels of BCR/ABL. PDN, prednisone; MUD, matched unrelated donor transplantation; Clo, clofarabine; CTX, cyclophosphamide.

**Fig. 2.** Cutaneous rash.
We would like to highlight the surprisingly fast, deep, and persistent molecular response obtained by ponatinib in a patient with a very poor prognosis. Secondly, TKI therapy with single-agent ponatinib after transplantation is feasible and may reduce the incidence of relapse and improve outcome after allogeneic HSC transplant in patients with high-risk Ph+ leukemia.

Furthermore, this case suggests that the efficacy of ponatinib could be related not only to the direct antileukemic effect but also to its ability to promote an indirect GVL effect. There is no data currently available on the immunomodulatory effects of ponatinib, but it is possible to hypothesize a potential immunological role for this TKI, similar to that observed in previous studies for imatinib, dasatinib, and nilotinib [12]. However, the molecular mechanism involved in this response remains unclear, and there are no specific data available in this regard in the medical literature. Furthermore, in our case, as also observed for the other available TKIs, we observed an increase in the number of circulating CD8+ and natural killer T cells and a reduction in the number of CD4+ T cells, which may be correlated with the onset of GVHD and GVL. Recently, a similar case report described a patient with Ph+ ALL with the acquisition of T315I mutation who was treated with ponatinib at relapse after an allogeneic transplant. This patient also experienced a skin GVHD, suggesting that the efficacy of ponatinib could be related to its ability to promote an indirect GVL effect [10]. This finding reinforces the use of immunotherapy in the treatment of onco-hematological diseases [13]. Finally, ponatinib treatment is considered safe with easily manageable side effects, although a careful evaluation of adverse events is required.

In conclusion, our case suggests that ponatinib may be highly effective and safe as a monotherapy in Ph+ ALL patients without the T315I mutation who relapse following allogeneic stem cell transplantation, both for its significant antileukemic actions and for its ability to induce a GVHD-like/GVL effect.
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