Acetylcholine-Induced Vasodilation in the Uterine Vascular Bed of Pregnant Rats with Adriamycin-Induced Nephrosis
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Abstract
Objective: This project was designed to study endothelium-dependent vasodilation in the uterine vascular bed during experimentally induced preeclampsia in rats.
Methods: Uterine vascular beds were isolated from non-pregnant and pregnant rats with or without treatment with adriamycin (ADR) and perfused with physiological solution. Thereafter, vasodilator responses to acetylcholine were recorded.

Records: Pregnant ADR-treated rats displayed symptoms of preeclampsia including hypertension and proteinuria. Blood pressure was 110.0 ± 4.7 mm Hg (n = 5) in control pregnant rats and 136.0 ± 5.3 mm Hg (n = 5) in ADR-treated pregnant rats, and urinary protein concentrations were 0.35 mg/ml (n = 5) and 13.2 ± 3.6 mg/ml (n = 9), respectively. Both blood pressure and proteinuria values were significantly (p < 0.05) different between controls and ADR-treated rats. However, acetylcholine-induced dose-dependent vasodilator responses in the vascular beds were not significantly different between the pregnant and non-pregnant rats. Although acetylcholine-induced vasodilation was significantly reduced by Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride (L-NAME) in both groups, the residual response to acetylcholine was not affected by indomethacin, suggesting that prostanoids were not involved in this response. The L-NAME-resistant component, endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF), was greater in ADR-treated uterine beds than in those of the controls, indicating a significant contribution from EDHF in these vessels. In the presence of an elevated external potassium ion concentration, acetylcholine produced similar vasodilator responses, indicating that the release of nitric oxide was not impaired.

Conclusion: These results indicate that endothelium-dependent vasodilation was not impaired in this model of preeclampsia.

Introduction

Preeclampsia, which is characterized by increased peripheral vascular resistance, proteinuria and hypertension, has long been a major cause of maternal death and perinatal morbidity. A number of theories have been propounded to explain the hemodynamic features of preeclampsia. One of the theories involves nitric oxide (NO). NO is a labile gas produced from arginine through a process catalyzed by the enzyme NO synthase (NOS). In blood vessels (and other tissues as well), NO activates guanylate cyclase, increases the intracellular concentration of cyclic guanosine monophosphate and produces vasodila-
tion. In normal pregnancy, there is a significant increase in uteroplacental blood flow and cardiac output associated with a reduced uterine and systemic vascular resistance. This reduction in peripheral vascular resistance is attributed to an increase in the release of NO from the endothelium. This idea was based on a number of observations, including increased expression and activity of endothelial NOS [1] and increased basal NO production during pregnancy [2–6]. However, controversy surrounds the status of NO in preeclampsia. Direct measurement of plasma or urinary excretion of nitrite/nitrate has yielded conflicting results. Some workers [11] have reported a decrease in urinary levels of NO in individuals with preeclampsia, while others have reported either no change or an increase in NO levels [12–14]. Inability to control the dietary intake of nitrates could be responsible for these conflicting results. However, Conrad et al. [13] did not observe any change in plasma and urinary nitrite levels in normotensive and preeclamptic women on a reduced nitrite diet. Studies in animal models of preeclampsia have also not been conclusive. In pregnant rats with nephrosis [induced by adriamycin (ADR) injection], Podjarny et al. [15] observed a reduction in the urinary excretion of nitrite/nitrate. However, in another model of preeclampsia, the reduced uterine perfusion pressure model, Alexander et al. [16] did not observe any change in urinary nitrite/nitrate levels even though blood pressure was increased by up to 20 mm Hg. Since these animals were maintained on standard laboratory chow, it is unlikely that the conflicting results (with respect to nitrate levels) could be due to differences in dietary protein intake.

Most investigations on endothelial function in preeclampsia have been carried out using fetal vessels or even vessels not directly related to the reproductive system such as the aorta, mesenteric vascular bed and omental artery segments. During pregnancy, the greatest changes in blood flow take place in the uterus vessels of the maternal circulation. Therefore, it is essential that studies on vascular reactivity be carried out on these vessels. Not many studies in humans or experimental animals have been carried out using vessels from the maternal circulation. Our objective in this investigation was therefore to study endothelium-dependent vasodilation in the perfused uterine vascular bed in pregnant rats with nephrosis induced by ADR. This animal model displays some of the signs and symptoms of preeclampsia [15].

Materials and Methods

Animals and Tissue Preparation

Adult female Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 180–250 g were used in this study. The rats were bred and maintained under internationally accepted conditions in the Animal Resource Center of the Faculty of Medicine, Kuwait University. Each rat was killed by concussion followed by exsanguination. The common iliac artery was identified and traced up to the point where it branches into the internal and external iliac arteries. Ligatures were placed around these branches and tied securely. The common iliac artery was then cut at its point of origin from the abdominal aorta and isolated on bloc with the uterine horn attached. The whole preparation was placed in a humidified chamber for perfusion. The preparation was perfused through a cannula placed in the iliac artery with Krebs’ solution (37 °C) at a constant flow rate of 6 ml/min using a Masterflex peristaltic pump (Cole-Palmer). Perfusion pressure was recorded through a Lectromed SensorNor 80 connected to a 2-channel Lectromed polygraph recorder. The preparation was allowed to stabilize for 30 min, at the end of which a dose of noradrenaline (100 nmol) was administered to test for tissue responsiveness. Thereafter, the preparation was allowed to equilibrate for another 30 min before starting the experiment.

Vasodilator Responses

When vasodilator responses were to be obtained, phenylephrine (10−5 M) was added to the physiological solution perfusing the tissue. Once the perfusion pressure had stabilized at the new level, increasing doses of acetylcholine were administered as above to generate data for a dose-response curve. Vasodilator responses were expressed as the percentage reduction in phenylephrine-induced tone.

Treatment with ADR

Adult female Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 180–250 g were used for our experiments. The rats were divided into four groups: (1) nonpregnant rats; (2) nonpregnant ADR-treated rats; (3) pregnant rats, and (4) pregnant ADR-treated rats. ADR-treated rats received a single injection of ADR, 7.5 mg/kg, through the tail vein. Two weeks later, a group of ADR-treated and matching nontreated rats were mated with fertile males for up to 4 days. The day spermatozoa appeared in the vaginal smear was designated as day 1 of pregnancy. Animals were sacrificed on day 20 of pregnancy for in vitro uterine vascular bed perfusion experiments.

Blood Pressure and Urinary Protein Measurements

Blood pressure was measured before the animals were sacrificed. To measure blood pressure, rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital, 40 mg/kg, administered intraperitoneally. Thereafter, an incision was made in the neck region and the right common carotid artery was exposed and isolated. The artery was cannulated with a PE50 polyethylene cannula connected to a blood pressure transducer (SensorNor 80), and blood pressure was recorded on a 2-channel Lectromed physiological recorder. The concentration of proteins in the urine was measured colorimetrically using the Bio-Rad protein assay kit.

Measurement of Plasma Concentration of Nitrite

The plasma concentration of nitrite was measured using a nitrate/nitrite colorimetric assay kit (Assay Designs) following enzymatic conversion of nitrate into nitrite. The nitrite was detected colorimetrically (at 540-nm visible light) as a colored product of the Griess reaction.
Physiological Solution

The physiological solution used throughout this study was of the following composition (in mmol/l): NaCl 119, KCl 4.7, MgCl2 1.2, KH2PO4 1.2, CaCl2 2.5, NaHCO3 25 and glucose 11.0. The pH was approximately 7.4. The solution was gassed continuously with a 5% CO2-95% O2 mixture.

Data Analysis

Graphs were plotted and analyzed using the GraphPad Prism software. EC50 (agonist concentration producing 50% of the maximum response) values were obtained from a plot of response against molar concentration of the agonist. Agonist potencies were expressed as pD2 (−log EC50) values. Data were presented as mean ± SE of ‘n’ number of animals used in the experiments. Differences between mean values were compared using Student’s t test. The difference was considered to be significant when p < 0.05.

Drug Solutions

The following compounds were used in this investigation: phenylephrine hydrochloride, ADR (doxorubicin hydrochloride, adriablastina), isoprenaline bitartrate, No-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride (L-NAME), sodium nitroprusside, iso-octylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol (Triton X-100), indomethacin and acetylcholine hydrochloride. Indomethacin was dissolved in absolute ethanol while all other compounds were dissolved in water. With the exception of ADR (supplied by the Ministry of Health, Kuwait) and Triton X-100 (from BDH Laboratories), all other compounds were obtained from RBI (Natick, Mass., USA).

Results

Effects of ADR Treatment

Pregnant ADR-treated rats developed proteinuria and hypertension, which were absent in control pregnant rats. Blood pressure was 115.8 ± 4.7 mm Hg in control nonpregnant rats, while the values in control and ADR-treated pregnant rats were 110.0 ± 4.7 mm Hg (n = 5) and 136.0 ± 5.3 mm Hg (n = 5), respectively. Thus, blood pressure was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in ADR-treated pregnant rats compared with control pregnant rats. Since previous studies have established that ADR treatment alone has no effect on blood pressure [15], we did not routinely measure blood pressure in ADR-treated nonpregnant rats in this study. Urinary protein concentrations in the four groups of animals are shown in table 1. Urinary protein concentration was very low in untreated pregnant and untreated rats, and there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between urinary protein concentrations in these groups. However, treatment of rats with ADR resulted in a significant (p < 0.05), approximately 10-fold increase in urinary protein concentration which increased further during pregnancy.

In pregnant control rats, the level of nitrite/nitrate in the plasma was 105 ± 14.3 μmol/l (n = 8), which was significantly (p < 0.05) higher than that in ADR-treated pregnant rats (61.8 ± 3.9 μmol/l; n = 10).

Vasodilator Effect of Acetylcholine in the Perfused Rat Uterine Vascular Bed

In all uterine vascular bed preparations, the basal perfusion pressure remained steady throughout the duration of the experiments. In phenylephrine-constricted uterine vascular beds, acetylcholine (10−11−10−6 mol) produced dose-dependent vasodilator responses. Some preparations (about 50%) from nonpregnant rats did not respond to acetylcholine even when the same preparations responded with vasodilation to isoprenaline. However, acetylcholine produced reproducible vasodilator responses in all preparations from pregnant rats. As shown in figure 1, acetylcholine-induced vasodilation was significantly greater in preparations from pregnant rats. Perfusion of the vascular bed with Triton X-100 to remove the endothelium resulted in the loss of acetylcholine-induced vasodilation, indicating the dependence of the acetylcholine-induced response on the endothelium. Therefore, in this study, acetylcholine-induced vasodilation was used to assess endothelial function.

Acetylcholine (10−11−10−6 mol) produced weak but dose-dependent vasodilator responses in uterine vascular beds from nonpregnant rats treated with ADR (fig. 1). Only 2 out of 5 preparations tested responded to acetylcholine. However, acetylcholine in the same dose range produced significant dose-dependent vasodilator responses in all vascular beds from control (not treated with ADR) and ADR-treated pregnant rats (fig. 1). The potency of acetylcholine was not significantly (p > 0.05) different between the two groups. The pD2 values were 9.06 ± 0.22 (control pregnant rats, n = 5) and 9.09 ± 0.19 (ADR-treated pregnant rats, n = 5). The maximum dilator

---

Table 1. Urinary protein concentrations in pregnant and nonpregnant ADR-treated and untreated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal group</th>
<th>Protein concentration mg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonpregnant rats (group 1)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpregnant, ADR-treated rats (group 2)</td>
<td>3.73 ± 0.51 (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant rats (group 3)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.09 (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant, ADR-treated rats (group 4)</td>
<td>13.2 ± 3.6 (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 p < 0.05 (versus group 1).
2 p < 0.05 (versus groups 2 and 3).
response in ADR-treated rats (70.3 ± 4.3%) was slightly but not significantly (p > 0.05) less than the value in control (not treated with ADR) rats (76.2 ± 5.3%).

**Effect of L-NAME on Acetylcholine-Induced Vasodilation in Control and ADR-Treated Pregnant Rats**

L-NAME (10⁻⁴ M) did not enhance phenylephrine-induced vasoconstriction in control or ADR-treated pregnant rats. However, L-NAME (10⁻⁴ M) significantly reduced, but did not abolish, acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in both groups. The residual response to acetylcholine was significantly higher in uterine vascular beds isolated from ADR-treated pregnant rats when compared with preparations from control pregnant rats (fig. 2). The pD₂ values were 8.69 ± 0.35 (control pregnant rats, n = 5) and 7.66 ± 0.29 (ADR-treated pregnant rats, n = 5), while the maximum residual dilator responses in the presence of L-NAME were 18.9 ± 1.6 and 40.0 ± 3.5%, respectively. The residual responses were not affected by indo-
Acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in uterine vascular beds from control (■; n = 4) and ADR-treated (▲; n = 4) pregnant rats in the presence of an elevated KCl (40 mM) concentration in the physiological solution. Perfusion pressure was raised with an infusion of phenylephrine (10⁻⁵ M).

Fig. 3. Acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in uterine vascular beds from control (■; n = 4) and ADR-treated (▲; n = 4) pregnant rats in the presence of an elevated KCl (40 mM) concentration in the physiological solution. Perfusion pressure was raised with an infusion of phenylephrine (10⁻⁵ M).

Vasodilator effects of sodium nitroprusside in perfused uterine vascular beds isolated from control (■; n = 4) and ADR-treated (▲; n = 6) pregnant rats. Perfusion pressure was raised with an infusion of phenylephrine (10⁻⁵ M).

Fig. 4. Vasodilator effects of sodium nitroprusside in perfused uterine vascular beds isolated from control (■; n = 4) and ADR-treated (▲; n = 6) pregnant rats. Perfusion pressure was raised with an infusion of phenylephrine (10⁻⁵ M).

In an attempt to determine if the higher L-NAME-resistant component of acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in ADR-treated rats was due to reduced release of NO, we examined acetylcholine-induced vasodilation under conditions in which the external K⁺ in the physiological solution was increased from 4.7 to 40 mM. Briefly, the K⁺ concentration in the physiological solution was increased to 40 mM, and perfusion pressure was raised with phenylephrine as described above. After the perfusion pressure had stabilized, doses of acetylcholine were injected as above. The results are shown in figure 3. Acetylcholine produced potent vasodilation of the uterine vascular beds from both control and ADR-treated pregnant rats. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in either potency (control 8.3 ± 0.3 versus ADR 7.9 ± 0.2) or methacin (10⁻⁵ M) in either group, indicating that the residual response did not involve prostanoids. However, the residual responses were abolished in a high-K⁺ (40 mM) medium, suggesting a role for membrane hyperpolarization.
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Discussion

Rats that became pregnant 2 weeks after treatment with a single intravenous dose of ADR developed symptoms of preeclampsia, including hypertension, proteinuria and reduced glomerular filtration [15]. The symptoms are reversible, as they disappear immediately after parturition, indicating that they were pregnancy related. There was a significant reduction in the urinary excretion of nitrite/nitrate in pregnant ADR-treated rats. The observation that L-NAME, an NOS inhibitor, increased blood pressure in control but not ADR-treated pregnant rats appears to confirm that the elevated blood pressure observed in this model was linked to inadequate release of NO [15]. ADR-induced hypertension in pregnant rats is therefore a good model for studying the pathophysiology of preeclampsia. Results obtained in our studies have confirmed these observations. In our study, blood pressure increased from 110 mm Hg in control pregnant rats to 136 mm Hg in ADR-treated pregnant rats. Also, the urinary protein concentration was 0.35 mg/ml in control pregnant rats, while in ADR-treated pregnant rats, it was 13.6 mg/ml. In addition, the plasma level of nitrite/nitrate in ADR-treated pregnant rats was approximately 50% of that found in control pregnant rats. We therefore used this animal model of preeclampsia to study endothelium-dependent vasodilator responses to acetylcholine during the development of preeclampsia.

Our results showed that endothelium-dependent acetylcholine-induced vasodilation was increased in vascular beds isolated from pregnant rats compared with those from nonpregnant controls. This is consistent with previous reports in the literature [4, 6–10]. The fact that acetylcholine-induced vasodilation was significantly inhibited by L-NAME would argue in favor of a role for NO in the response to acetylcholine.

The effect of preeclampsia on endothelium-dependent relaxation is still unresolved. Most functional studies in vitro have shown that agonist-induced relaxation (index of NO release) was significantly reduced in vessels obtained from women with pregnancy-induced hypertension [10, 17–20]. A similar observation has been made in an animal model of preeclampsia [21]. However, Rathaus et al. [22], using ADR-treated pregnant rats as a model of preeclampsia, reported increased acetylcholine-induced, endothelium-dependent relaxation of the mesenteric vessels, indicating an increased release of NO. None of these studies was carried out using resistance vessels of the maternal circulation.

ADR-induced preeclampsia-like syndrome is associated with a reduced basal plasma nitrite/nitrate level indicating endothelial dysfunction as previously reported [15] and consistent with the results of the present study. We therefore used this model to study endothelium-dependent vasodilation in the uterine vascular bed during the development of preeclampsia. Our results showed that acetylcholine produced reproductive vasodilator responses in uterine vascular beds from control and preeclamptic (ADR-treated) pregnant rats. There was no significant difference either in the potency of acetylcholine or in the maximum dilator response indicating lack of endothelial dysfunction in this animal model of preeclampsia.

It is known that acetylcholine-induced vasodilation, especially in resistance vessels, is made up of two components, i.e. NO and endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF) components [23, 24]. The contribution of NO and EDHF to agonist-induced vasodilation varies between vascular beds. There is the possibility that a reduction in one component could be compensated for by an increase in the other component. We therefore studied the effect of L-NAME, an inhibitor of NOS activity, on acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in uterine vascular beds from control and ADR-treated pregnant rats. The results showed that L-NAME produced significant inhibition of acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in both groups. In addition, L-NAME did not totally abolish acetylcholine-induced vasodilation, thereby leaving a residual vasodilator response. This residual L-NAME-insensitive component (EDHF) was resistant to inhibition by indomethacin, a cyclooxygenase inhibitor, indicating that the response was not mediated by prostanooids. The residual...
response was, however, abolished by increasing the $K^+$ content of the physiological solution, suggesting a role for membrane hyperpolarization in this response. Perhaps more significant was our observation that the presumed EDHF component was significantly greater in uterine vascular beds isolated from ADR-treated pregnant rats compared with those from the control pregnant rats. This could be due to one of three possibilities: (1) NO release is impaired by adriamycin treatment; (2) the sensitivity of the uterine vascular bed to NO is increased during pre-eclampsia, or (3) the release of EDHF is increased during preeclampsia. In order to determine whether NO release is impaired in ADR-treated pregnant rats, we attempted to isolate the NO component of the relaxation response to acetylcholine. In the rat mesenteric vascular bed, acetylcholine-induced vasodilation, though endothelium dependent, was not abolished by L-NAME, an NOS inhibitor [23]. These investigators, however, showed that depolarization of the vascular preparation by elevating the external $K^+$ concentration to 20 mM reduced acetylcholine-induced vasodilation. In addition, and perhaps more significantly, under this condition, the vasodilator response to acetylcholine was abolished by L-NAME, indicating total dependence of this response on NO release. We have previously, in two preliminary experiments, stated that in the perfused rat uterine vascular bed, acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in the presence of 40 mM $K^+$ was abolished by L-NAME ($10^{-4}$ M). This would indicate that the response was mediated primarily by NO. We therefore adopted this procedure, in this study, to compare the NO component of the acetylcholine-induced response in uterine vascular beds from ADR-treated and untreated pregnant rats. The results showed that in the presence of 40 mM $K^+$ in the perfusion solution and phenylephrine to raise perfusion pressure, acetylcholine produced vasodilator responses in both ADR-treated and untreated rat uterine vascular beds. There was no significant difference in the response (either potency or maximum response) to acetylcholine between the two groups. This would suggest that acetylcholine-induced release of NO was not impaired in this model of preeclampsia. Thus, even though reduction of plasma levels of nitrite/nitrate by ADR treatment was observed in this study, as previously reported [15], acetylcholine-induced release was not reduced, indicating a dissociation between basal and induced release of NO in this model of preeclampsia. This dissociation was also observed by Rathaus et al. [22], who noted an increased acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in the mesenteric bed of pregnant rats with nephrosis (induced by ADR). Similarly, Crews et al. [21] reported reduced endothelium-dependent relaxation in the aorta of rats with preeclampsia-like syndrome, in a reduced uterine perfusion pressure model, even though no change in urinary excretion of nitrite/nitrate was observed when compared with control pregnant rats [16].

We did not observe any difference in the reactivity of the uterine vascular beds (treated with ADR or not) to sodium nitroprusside, an NO donor, suggesting that the sensitivity of the uterine vascular bed to NO was not impaired by treatment with ADR.

**Conclusion**

We interpreted these observations to indicate (1) that induced release of NO in the rat uterine vascular bed was not altered by preeclampsia, (2) that both NO and EDHF were involved in acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in control and ADR-treated pregnant rats and (3) that the increased L-NAME-resistant component of acetylcholine-induced vasodilation in ADR-treated pregnant rats was not due to impaired NO release.
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