Classification of Specific Cutaneous Manifestations in Patients with Lupus Erythematosus: A Time for Change?
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In this issue of *Dermatology*, Caputo et al. [1] report a brother and a sister with reticular erythematous mucinosis (REM) syndrome. HLA typing revealed that both had a haplotype predisposing to auto-immune disease, thus raising the question of the relationship between REM syndrome and auto-immune diseases, especially lupus erythematosus (LE).

This clinically characteristic entity was first reported by Perry et al. in 1960 [2] while Steigleder et al. [3] named it REM syndrome in 1974. It starts usually as red macules and/or papules or plaques that slowly enlarge in the presternal area and/or the mid-upper back. While enlarging, a reticular configuration of the erythema becomes typically apparent. Microscopic evaluation reveals a moderately intense lymphocytic inflammation around superficial and sometimes mid-dermal vessels and mucin deposition in the upper dermis.

Since its initial description, some authors stipulated that the REM syndrome is merely a variant of LE. This assumption relies on the following observations, that are shared with LE: (1) REM is a photosensitive entity and patients usually respond to antimalarial drugs [4]; (2) some patients had associated myalgia, arthralgia or polyarthritis [5]; (3) hormone dependency was reported [6]; (4) some patients had a lupus band on immunofluorescence studies [7]; (5) auto-immune diseases, including thrombocytopenic purpura and auto-immune thyroiditis are sometimes associated [8–10]; (6) furthermore, REM occurred in patients with discoid, subacute and systemic LE [11], and (7) another entity characterized by dermal mucin deposition, though clinically different, the papulonodular mucinosis, is significantly associated with LE [12, 13].

Familial occurrence seems rare in patients with the REM syndrome, as this is the first of such a report. However, familial occurrence has been reported in many families of patients with Jessner’s lymphocytic infiltrate of the skin (JLI) [14–16]. JLI is another entity the autonomy of which is subjected to debate since its initial description by Jessner and Kanof in 1953 [17]. It consists of erythematous arciform plaques, mainly located in the head and neck region and on the trunk. Pathological evaluation shows a dense, sleeve-like lymphocytic infiltrate around the superficial and deep dermal vessels. For reasons very similar to those just mentioned above for the REM syndrome, many authors thought of it as being a variant of LE. We have recently shown that 16 out of 210 (7.6%) patients with JLI do have associated LE [18]. Considering that the prevalence of LE is about 1/50,000, the frequency of this association is not fortuitous. This suggests that JLI might be a dermal variant of LE. As only 2 out of the 16 patients with JLI and LE had more than 4 American College of Rheumatology criteria of systemic LE, it is probably an LE subset with a favourable prognosis. Clin-
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Dermo-epidermal LE
- Acute
- Subacute
- Chronic
- Indeterminate
- LE-specific vesiculobullous disease

Dermal LE
- Tumid LE
- Jessner’s lymphocytic infiltrate of the skin
- Papulonodular mucinosis of LE
- Reticular erythematous mucinosis syndrome

Hypodermal LE
- Lupus panniculitis

Table 1. Classification of the specific lesions of LE, including dermal LE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dermo-epidermal LE</td>
<td>Acute, Subacute, Chronic, Indeterminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal LE</td>
<td>Tumid LE, Jessner’s lymphocytic infiltrate of the skin, Papulonodular mucinosis of LE, Reticular erythematous mucinosis syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypodermal LE</td>
<td>Lupus panniculitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the REM syndrome, the papulonodular mucinosis (of LE) and JLI are yet not included in the list of specific lesions of LE.

This is mainly because those lesions are lacking, from the pathological point of view, an interface dermatitis, e.g., an inflammatory infiltrate at the epidermal-dermal junction, with vacuolization of basal keratinocytes and some degree of epidermal necrosis. However, more than 50% of patients with a lupus panniculitis [22] and all patients with lupus tumidus [20], two manifestations of LE considered as specific, lack this interface dermatitis, the hallmark of the so-called specific lesions. Therefore, from a logical point of view, this classification is not coherent.

In our opinion, the time has come to expand the concept of the specific cutaneous manifestations of LE and to include purely dermal variants of LE. Dermal LE includes the entities JLI, lupus tumidus, the papulonodular mucinosis of LE and the REM syndrome. From a pathological point of view, the spectrum of dermal LE ranges from lymphocytic lesions (JLI) to lesions characterized mainly by mucin deposition (papulonodular mucinosis). Clearly, much overlapping exists within the pathological spectrum of dermal LE.

We suggest to classify the specific cutaneous lesions of LE into dermo-epidermal, dermal and hypodermal variants of LE, as outlined in Table 1. We believe that this classification is logical and simple. The subset of dermal LE is a relatively homogenous subset of patients with a favourable prognosis, except for the group of patients with papulonodular mucinosis whose prognosis is more uncertain and in whom an association with systemic LE is often reported [13, 23, 24].
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