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Prevalence

Pheochromocytoma (PHEO) is considered to be a rare cause of hypertension. Large studies on autopsies in Sydney, Melbourne and Auckland indicated only 1 case found per 2,031 autopsies [1]. Prevalence estimates for PHEO vary from 0.01 to 0.1% of the hypertensive population. Not surprisingly, these figures are considerably higher in specialized hypertensive centers [2]. Although PHEOs are relatively rare, diagnosis and treatment are extremely important. If left untreated, PHEOs may lead to fatal hypertensive crises during anesthesia and other stresses [3]. This notion is further emphasized by the fact that approximately 10% of all PHEOs are found incidentally and about 5% of all incidentalomas are PHEOs [4].

Blood Pressure Characteristics

Sustained hypertension is more common than paroxysmal hypertension. Patients with sustained hypertension may however have superimposed paroxysms of hy-
Hypertension that can be brought on in multiple ways like exercise, anesthesia, smoking, urination, defecation, or induction of the pressure on the abdomen.

It is believed that patients with PHEO present more frequently with hypertension resistant to drug therapy. Although episodes of catecholamine release may sometimes lead to blood pressure (BP) >250/150 mm Hg, the 24-hour BP mean is usually lower compared to other forms of endocrine hypertension like primary aldosteronism or Cushing’s syndrome or in comparison with essential hypertension [5]. In most patients with PHEO, true resistance to the antihypertensive therapy is rare. Circadian BP variation in PHEO is blunted with the absence of decrease or even increase in nocturnal BP [5]. This BP pattern evaluated by ambulatory 24-hour BP monitoring may be considered as a diagnostic clue, since no such profound BP circadian rhythm changes were noted in other forms of endocrine hypertension [5]. Normotension in PHEO may be observed in completely asymptomatic patients [6], the absence of high BP can be explained by the desensitization of the cardiovascular system due to high catecholamine levels. Interestingly, these normotensive individuals also have an attenuated night-time BP decline [6].

Catecholamines are responsible for short- and long-lasting BP elevations. BP variability can be helpful in evaluating the risk of the hypertensive subjects since higher BP variability has been shown to correlate independently of other known risk factors with a higher incidence of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [7]. The excess of catecholamines in patients with PHEO is associated with higher long-term BP variability in comparison with patients suffering from essential hypertension. This phenomenon is especially marked in subjects with inverted circadian BP rhythm [8]. Successful removal of the tumor results in the amelioration of the previously increased BP variability [8].

Ambulatory 24-hour BP monitoring may thus be helpful in the diagnosis of PHEO due to amelioration of the 24-hour BP rhythm and increase of BP variability.

### Familial Syndromes/Genetic Testing

Familial PHEO is estimated to make up 10% of all PHEOs. A recent study performed mainly in Central Europe on a large sample of apparently sporadic PHEOs revealed a surprisingly relatively high (24%) prevalence of germline mutations in one of four susceptibility genes for PHEO [9]. So far, germline mutations in five genes have been identified to be responsible for familial PHEOs (table 1): the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) gene, which causes VHL syndrome, the RET gene leading to multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2, the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene (NF1), which is associated with von Recklinghausen's disease and the genes encoding the B and D subunits of mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase (SDHB, SDHD), which are associated with familial paragangliomas and PHEOs [10, 11]. As indicated in table 1, PHEOs are not always present and usually are also not the first clinical manifestation of syndromes due to mutations of VHL, RET and NF1 genes [10]. It thus seems that the genetic analysis should be offered to those patients with confirmed PHEO, who are 50 years old or younger [10]. It also appears reasonable that all positive cases should be followed up throughout life. However, if genetic testing is negative in a family member of a patient with a hereditary form of PHEO, no other laboratory tests are indicated.

### Von Hippel-Lindau Syndrome

Clinical manifestations can differ with two broad types (1 and 2) with a large diversity of features. PHEOs can only be part of VHL syndrome type 2, which may be subdivided into form 2A presenting with retinal and CNS hemangioblastomas, PHEOs, endolymphatic sac tumors and epididymal cystadenomas. In addition to PHEO renal cell cysts and carcinomas, VHL syndrome type 2B may include retinal and CNS hemangioblastomas, pancreatic neoplasms and cysts, endolymphatic sac tumors and epididymal cystadenomas. The latter type (2C) presents with PHEO only [10].

### Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 2

This syndrome (mutation of RET gene) can vary with two main types: type A may also include medullary thyroid carcinoma, PHEO, hyperparathyroidism, cutaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of mutation</th>
<th>VHL</th>
<th>RET</th>
<th>SDHB</th>
<th>SDHD</th>
<th>NF1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of sporadic PHEO</td>
<td>2–20</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>3–10</td>
<td>4–7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of malignant disease</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-adrenal</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ambulatory 24-hour BP monitoring may thus be helpful in the diagnosis of PHEO due to amelioration of the 24-hour BP rhythm and increase of BP variability.
lichen amyloidosis or familial medullary thyroid carcinoma only (FMTC), and type B presents with medullary thyroid carcinoma, PHEO, multiple neuromas and marfanoid habitus [10].

**Paraganglioma Syndromes**

Paraganglioma syndromes include a diversity of clinical features like head and neck tumors (carotid body tumors, vagal, jugular and tympanic paragangliomas), and PHEO or abdominal and thoracic paragangliomas (or both). Head and neck paragangliomas are more commonly associated with SDHD mutations and are usually benign, while SDHB mutations are associated with an increased rate of malignant disease (80%) [11].

**Neurofibromatosis Type 1 Syndrome**

This syndrome may present with multiple fibromas on skin and mucosae, café-au-lait skin spots and PHEO. PHEO in this syndrome is very rare (<5%) and thus diagnostic screening for PHEO is not generally indicated [10].

**Biochemical Diagnosis**

Significant technical progress has been made over the past 10 years. Eisenhofer et al. [12] indicated the greater sensitivity and specificity for assays of plasma metanephrines that can be explained by the differences in the affinity of catecholamines to membrane-bound catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) in adrenal chromaffin cells. The affinity is much higher compared to COMT present elsewhere. All tumors metabolize amines to free metanephrine, but not all tumors secrete catecholamines [4, 12]. While levels of catecholamines are increased by minimal anxiety and stress, levels of metanephrines are much less affected.

A multicentric cohort study performed on 214 patients with confirmed PHEOs in four different centers indicated that the test with free metanephrines in plasma is the best and should be used as a test of choice [13]. In a recent review of same authors the measurements of plasma-free metanephrines or urinary-fractionated metanephrines (normetanephrine and metanephrine separately) was considered as the most sensitive tests for diagnosis or reliable exclusion of PHEO [10]. Table 2 demonstrates sensitivity and specificity of different biochemical tests for the diagnosis of PHEO (according Lenders et al. [13]).

Despite a very high sensitivity of plasma/urinary metanephrines, the problems could be related to a relatively lower specificity and thus positive results do not always reliably confirm PHEO [4, 10]. In addition to that, several drugs may interfere with the biochemical tests for PHEOs and thus lead to false-positive results. The most frequent interfering medication seems to be phenoxycbenzamine or tricyclic antidepressants [14]. False-positive results may also be caused by dietary influences and/or inappropriate sampling conditions.

Other investigators [15] recommend multiple tests be performed due to variable catecholamine metabolism. Combination of resting plasma norepinephrine + epinephrine >2,000 pg/ml and urinary metanephrine (MNM + NM) >1.8 mg/24 h provided a diagnostic accuracy of 98% in both sporadic and familial PHEOs [15]. Obviously, the issue of costs should also be considered when a combination of tests is used.

Mayo Clinic researchers advocate an approach based on the probability of the presence of PHEO [16]. To minimize the number of false-positive cases, they recommend 24-hour urinary metabolites and catecholamines. If the clinical suspicion is higher (suggestive symptoms, family history of PHEO, familial syndrome, adrenal mass) the tests with higher sensitivity, e.g. fractionated plasma-free metanephrines, should be preferred [16].

Urinary vanillylmandelic acid (VMA) determination is still being frequently used, however these assays have a lower sensitivity since approximately 80% of all VMA comes from metabolites of norepinephrine from sympathetic neurons and thus an increase of VMA excretion requires very high plasma catecholamines and metanephrines levels [4]. Thus, although uncertainty still exists about which test is definitely the best [4], plasma-free metanephrines or urinary fractionated metanephrines seem to be the appropriate choice [10, 13].

### Table 2. Sensitivity and specificity of biochemical tests for diagnosis of PHEO (from Lenders et al. [10])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma-free metanephrines</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma catecholamines</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary catecholamines</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary fractionated metanephrines</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary total metanephrines</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanillylmandelic acid</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specificity values for familial PHEO are higher compared to sporadic cases.
Pharmacologic Tests

The clonidine test is the most widely pharmacologic approach used to differentiate between increased catecholamine release due to sympathetic activation from increased release due to PHEO [17]. If positive, this test is highly predictive for PHEO by 97%. The negative predictive value is however relatively poor (75%). Investigators from the NIH therefore recommend the clonidine suppression test with the measurement of plasma normetanephrine instead of plasma norepinephrine [18]. The positive and negative predictive value of this modification improved to 100 and 96% respectively [18]. This test thus seems to be the most accurate in the diagnosis of PHEO and is indicated in equivocal cases. Provocative tests (stimulation with histamine or glucagon) cause discomfort and are potentially hazardous, and due to the availability of other accurate tests have a minimal/questionable additional diagnostic value [4].

Morphological Diagnostic Approaches

Abdominal CT scans are often used for tumor localization. Evidence from one small study indicates that contrast enhancement with iohexol has no effect on plasma catecholamines [19]. T2-weighted MRI with gadolinium enhancement has a comparable diagnostic accuracy with CT scans [4, 10], but it is preferred for the localization of extra-adrenal tumors or tumors during pregnancy, in children, in allergies to contrast or in renal insufficiency. No adrenergic blockade is needed in MRI.

Imaging with 123I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) rather than 131I-MIBG, which has poorer quality, is indicated in patients with extra-adrenal large (>5 cm) adrenal tumors with increased risk of malignant disease, or in patients with high suspicion of the presence of multifocal disease [10]. It is important to note that several drugs (labetalol, tricyclic antidepressants, calcium antagonists) may interfere with the uptake or retention of 123I-MIBG. If 123I-MIBG scans are negative, 111In-octreotide scan or PET with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose can be used. Both of these tests are non-specific for PHEO and thus are not recommended for initial diagnostic localization. 18F-fluorodopamine PET has a better diagnostic sensitivity than MIBG scintigraphy [20], especially in metastatic PHEOs. 18F-Fluorodopamine (and other substances with similar properties like 18F-fluorodopa and 11C-hydroxyephedrine) is, however, not widely available. An algorithm for diagnostic localization of PHEO is depicted in figure 1 (according Ilias and Pacák [21]).

Treatment

Benign PHEO
Preoperative Pharmacologic Treatment. Preoperative pharmacologic treatment is necessary to reduce the risk and complications of surgery, since emergency tumor resection leads to poor survival [10]. Medical therapy consists of selective α1-blockers like doxazosin, terazosin or prazosin. Prazosin has the disadvantage of shorter duration of action. Phenoxybenzamine as non-selective, non-competitive α-blocking agent is also used, but this drug is not widely available. There are no randomized clinical trials comparing phenoxybenzamine with prazosin or doxazosin. Labetalol is less suitable for the preoperative management due to prevailing blockade of β-adrenoceptors compared to α-blockade.

β-Blockers can be given to control tachycardia and arrhythmias, but only at least 24 h after therapy with α-blockers has been initiated. If β-blockers are used alone, they may cause a pressor response or even pulmonary edema due to unopposed α-receptor-mediated vasoconstriction, or removal by β-adrenergic drive to the heart, respectively [4].

Calcium channel blockers have also been used to inhibit catecholamine-mediated release of intracellular calcium alone or in combination with α-blockers [4, 10]. Although calcium channel blockers do not cause orthostatic hypotension, they do not completely prevent BP surges if used alone [10]. Pretreatment with α-blockers, and potentially β-blockers, should be initiated at least 1 week, but preferably 10–14 days before surgery. The type of anesthetic agent seems to be of secondary importance [22] to control BP during surgery. If a substantial BP surge occurs during operation, administration of isosorbide dinitrate, sodium nitroprusside or a short-acting calcium antagonist is indicated. Short-acting β-blockers (esmolol) can also be used to treat tachyarrhythmias.

The risk of postoperative hypotension can be minimized by the increase of salt and fluid intake. Postoperative hypoglycemia may also occur due to sudden hyperinsulinemia.

Surgical Treatment. Due to accurate localization of the tumor and technical advances, laparoscopic removal of the tumor is now the widely used [23]. Compared to the conventional approach with upper abdominal incision, the laparoscopic technique decreases postoperative morbidity, hospital stay and expenses [23, 24]. In case of bilateral PHEOs, adrenal-cortex-sparing laparoscopic surgery has been recommended to prevent long-life gluco-
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corticoid substitution. The prognosis of the patients after surgery is very good, but hypertension may persist (usually less severe) in nearly 50% of patients according some authors [25]. Long-term follow-up at regular intervals after the operation is indicated since the recurrence rate of PHEO is 17% [25]. Recurrences are more often in extra-adrenal or familial forms.

Fig. 1. Algorithm for the diagnosis of PHEO (from Ilias and Pacák [21]). Positive T2- or negative T2-weighted MRI examinations. + = Examination positive for tumor; – = examination negative for tumor.
Malignant PHEO

Malignant PHEO is confirmed if metastasis of chromaffin tissue is found at unusual locations. Large tumors, extra-adrenal or familial forms carry a higher risk of malignancy. The clinical course in malignant forms is variable, with 5-year survival rates of 50%.

There is no convincingly effective mode of treatment in malignant PHEOs. Surgical removal of all tumor tissue should be the preferred approach. Conservative therapy with α-blockers may control BP and symptoms. Shrinkage of the tumor mass has been reported with the inhibitor of catecholamine synthesis, metyrosine. Treatment with 131I-MIBG or chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, vincristine and dacarbazine deteriorate quality of life and may lead to complete remission only in the minority of patients [10]. High doses of 131I-MIBG have been shown to improve long-term survival [26] but apparently control studies are missing. Skeletal metastasis may respond to irradiation or radiofrequency ablation [4, 10].
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